DNA methylome analysis reveals epigenetic alteration of complement genes in advanced metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease
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**Study Highlights**

Our study provides convincing evidence of epigenetic alteration of complement genes linked to metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), as evidenced by hypermethylation and downregulation of the complement genes \(C1R\), \(C1S\), \(C3\), \(C6\), \(C4BPA\), and \(SERPING1\) alongside hypomethylation and upregulation of \(C5AR1\), \(C7\), and \(CD59\). These findings offer crucial insights into the mechanisms propelling MASLD progression and suggest that precision-targeted therapies, including the use of inhibitors of the complement system, could be promising strategies for managing MASLD.
Abstract

Background/Aims: Blocking the complement system is a promising strategy to impede the progression of metabolic dysfunction–associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD). However, the interplay between complement and MASLD remains to be elucidated. This comprehensive approach aimed to investigate the potential association between complement dysregulation and the histological severity of MASLD.

Methods: Liver biopsy specimens were procured from a cohort comprising 106 Korean individuals, which included 31 controls, 17 with isolated steatosis, and 58 with metabolic dysfunction–associated steatohepatitis (MASH). Utilizing the Infinium Methylation EPIC array, thorough analysis of methylation alterations in 61 complement genes was conducted. The expression and methylation of nine complement genes in a murine MASH model were examined using quantitative RT-PCR and pyrosequencing.

Results: Methylome and transcriptome analyses of liver biopsies revealed significant ($P < 0.05$) hypermethylation and downregulation of $C1R$, $C1S$, $C3$, $C6$, $C4BPA$, and $SERPING1$, as well as hypomethylation ($P < 0.0005$) and upregulation ($P < 0.05$) of $C5AR1$, $C7$, and $CD59$, in association with the histological severity of MASLD. Furthermore, DNA methylation and the relative expression of nine complement genes in a MASH diet mouse model aligned with human data.

Conclusions: Our research provides compelling evidence that epigenetic alterations in complement genes correlate with MASLD severity, offering valuable insights into the mechanisms driving MASLD progression, and suggests that inhibiting the function of certain complement proteins may be a promising strategy for managing MASLD.
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Introduction

Metabolic dysfunction–associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD), formerly known as nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), has undergone a redefinition to encompass liver steatosis accompanied by cardiometabolic risk factors.\(^1\) This spectrum of histopathological findings includes metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver (MASL; isolated steatosis) and metabolic dysfunction–associated steatohepatitis (MASH), previously referred to as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). MASH represents the inflammatory subtype of MASLD, carrying a significant risk for progression to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Individuals diagnosed with MASH face an elevated mortality risk, primarily due to cardiovascular causes. The prevalence of MASLD has surged alongside the worldwide obesity epidemic. Presently, MASLD afflicts approximately 25–30% of adults worldwide, although projections from hierarchical Bayesian analysis suggest that more than half of all adults could be affected by 2040.\(^2\)

The progression of MASLD is influenced by various factors, including diet, lifestyle choices, and composition of the gut microbiome, which collectively can trigger aberrant epigenetic modifications that contribute to disease progression.\(^3\) DNA methylation stands out as a relatively stable epigenetic mechanism governing gene expression patterns. Typically, hypermethylation of DNA can silence gene expression, whereas hypomethylation can activate gene expression. Therefore, investigating the DNA methylome is crucial for identifying biomarkers linked to disease progression as well as for screening potential therapeutic targets. Studies conducted with human liver specimens have revealed associations between MASLD and abnormal patterns of DNA methylation.\(^4,5\) Nevertheless, the epigenetic mechanisms of MASLD are not completely understood.

The complement system is a crucial component of innate immunity that plays a pivotal role in defending against invading pathogens.\(^6\) Complement is comprised of approximately 60 soluble and membrane-bound proteins including core components as well as receptors and regulatory factors.\(^6\) Recent comprehensive assessments of complement function have revealed its multifaceted involvement beyond canonical immune processes. Indeed, complement contributes to essential physiological processes such as resolution of inflammation, clearance of apoptotic cells, angiogenesis,
wound healing, stem-cell recruitment and activation, and tissue repair. However, age-related processes and deficiencies as well as genetic variations in complement proteins can perturb complement function, with detrimental consequences. Moreover, burgeoning research indicates a significant involvement of the complement system in MASLD progression. Despite this pathophysiological connection, our understanding of the interplay between complement and MASLD remains rudimentary, primarily owing to isolated studies that often have been conducted with animal models or in vitro. These investigations have yielded varied and occasionally conflicting conclusions, perhaps owing to the complexity of complement’s roles in numerous physiological processes. Moreover, the cellular levels of complement components can dictate whether the effects are pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory depending on MASLD status.

Analyses of human MASLD cases are scarce, leaving unanswered questions regarding the abundance of complement components in both health and disease and the extent to which they become activated or suppressed in MASLD patients. Debates persist concerning whether complement activation is heightened or suppressed in MASLD and whether the levels of complement components correlate with MASLD severity. To address this issue, we conducted a comprehensive analysis by combining data obtained from DNA methylome and transcriptome studies with the goal of deepening our understanding of the potential link between complement dysregulation and the risk or severity of MASLD.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients**

Patients with biopsy-proven MASLD were enrolled following the procedures outlined in our previous study. Approval for this study was obtained from the institutional review board of Seoul Metropolitan Government Boramae Medical Center. We established a prospective cohort from the ongoing Boramae MASLD registry (NCT 02206841) as described previously. The MASLD cohort (ITEN) comprised 106 Korean individuals aged 25–80 who sought medical attention at Seoul Metropolitan Government
Boramae Medical Center. Prior to participation, all individuals were fully informed about the study protocol and provided written informed consent.

NAFLD activity score (NAS) and fibrosis stage are assessed according to the Kleiner classification and all study participants were categorized into the control, MASL, and MASH groups (Table 1). SAF score encompasses three main components: steatosis (S), activity (A), and fibrosis (F). Steatosis is graded from S0 to S3 based on the percentage of the hepatocytes affected by large- or medium-sized lipid droplets. Activity is assessed by the presence and severity of hepatocyte ballooning and lobular inflammation, graded from A0 to A3. Fibrosis is categorized into stages ranging from F0 (no fibrosis) to F4 (cirrhosis) based on the extent and pattern of fibrosis within the liver tissue. SAF severity was categorized into of ‘0’ (no MASLD; control), ‘1’, ‘2’, and ‘3’ based on the criteria established by Bedossa et al. SAF scoring in our analysis aligns with established severity levels: SAF severity ‘1’ denotes mild severity, characterized by A <2 and F <2. SAF severity ‘2’ indicates significant severity with A ≥2 and/or F ≥2. Finally, SAF severity ‘3’ signifies severe severity, indicating A ≥3 and/or F ≥3.

Liver tissues were collected from healthy control subjects who underwent liver biopsy in a pre-evaluation for becoming a liver transplantation donor, and normal liver parenchyma was collected from patients with intrahepatic benign tumors. In subsequent analyses, we considered the absence of MASLD as a control and either MASL or MASH as the MASLD group.

DNA methylation microarray experiment and data analysis

The EPIC BeadChip (Illumina) was employed for methylation array analyses. Initially, 1 µg genomic DNA sourced from human liver tissue underwent treatment with 20 µL sodium bisulfite solution provided in the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA). Subsequently, bisulfite-converted DNA (4 µL) was subjected to amplification using the Infinium Methylation Assay kit (Illumina). The amplified DNA was then hybridized onto an EPIC BeadChip and scanned utilizing the Illumina iSCAN system.
To assess the extent of CpG methylation, we utilized the SeSAMe package (v1.20.0) within the R software (v4.3.1), incorporating functional normalization to mitigate technical variabilities. Preliminary processing encompassed several steps: 'qualityMask', 'infiniumIChannel', 'dyeBiasNL', 'pOOBAH', and 'noob', aimed at filtering out poor-quality probe data, correcting for dye bias and channel switching, and executing background subtraction. Specifically, CpG probes failing in 10% or more samples, non-CpG probes, probes targeting chromosome X or Y and flagged probes were excluded from the analysis. Additionally, measurements with detection P-values of <0.05 were deemed to exhibit a significant signal above background. For publicly available data from GEO (GSE180474), idat files underwent similar preprocessing procedures. Finally, all primary methylation array data were deposited in K-BDS (Korea BioData Station, https://kbds.re.kr) under accession number KAP240571.

**RNA-seq data analysis**

The RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data from our previous study encompassed 92 liver samples from 28 control, 16 MASL, and 48 MASH subjects. Total RNA extracted from each liver sample was utilized for RNA sequencing with the HiSeq2500 platform. The reads were subsequently mapped and quantified using the human genome (hg19/GRCh37) reference based on GENCODE v19. Additionally, publicly available data were sourced from GEO (GSE135251). The counts matrix underwent normalization for trimmed mean of M values (i.e., TMM) using the edgeR package (version 4.0.11), followed by conversion to counts per million and subsequent log2 transformation to yield normalized values. From this normalized matrix, the expression values of complement genes were extracted. Subsequently, we utilized clinical data pertaining to NAS and fibrosis stage to explore the expression patterns of complement genes across various MASLD spectra, employing boxplots for visualization.

**Analysis of single-nucleus RNA-seq data**

We utilized pre-processed and annotated single-nucleus RNA-seq (snRNA-seq) data from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE202379. This dataset comprises
transcriptomic profiles from 47 liver biopsies representing the entire spectrum of MASLD progression. The snRNA-seq data were merged and annotated into a comprehensive Seurat object (GSE202379_SeuratObject_AllCells.rds) for downstream analysis. We utilized the Seurat package (v4.1.3) for our analysis.

Hepatocytes zonation signature analysis

We employed previously established human zonation marker genes to distinguish portal- and central-zone hepatocytes within healthy and three other conditions.\textsuperscript{21-24} The zonation analysis method employed in this study followed the approach outlined in Guilliams \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{25} For human hepatocytes, this approach successfully identified zonated expression patterns of landmark genes, such as \textit{HAL}, \textit{SDS}, \textit{ASS1} and \textit{PCK1} (periportal zone) and \textit{CYP2E1}, \textit{SLCO1B3} and \textit{GLUL} (pericentral zone).\textsuperscript{22,23}

Mice

C57BL/6N male mice, 6 weeks old, were purchased from ORIENTBIO (Korea). The mice used in this study were maintained at the Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology in accordance with the guidelines provided by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were housed in a specific-pathogen-free environment at 23°C, humidity 40–50%, and a 12-h light-dark cycle. Throughout the study, the mice had ad libitum access to water. At age 8 weeks, the experimental mice were divided into two groups. One group was fed a MASH diet (#TD.190142, Teklad Custom Diet, ENVIGO, USA) supplemented with a sucrose solution (\( \text{D-glucose} = 18.9 \text{ g/L}, \text{fructose} = 23.1 \text{ g/L} \)), whereas the control group was fed a normal chow diet (#2018S, Teklad Global 18% Protein Rodent Diet, ENVIGO). After 14 weeks on the respective diets, the mice were sacrificed and their livers analyzed.

Data analysis and representation
The statistical significance of differences between groups was evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables. The gene expression and DNA methylation heatmap was created using the Heatmap function of the ComplexHeatmap package (version 2.18.0). Boxplots depicting complement genes were generated using the ggplot2 package (version 3.5.0). All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 4.3.2). Findings with a $P$-value <0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

Results

Aberrant methylation and expression of complement genes in MASLD

For the DNA methylome analysis, liver biopsy specimens were collected from 106 Korean individuals with diverse metabolic status and histological status. Employing standardized pathological scores, the samples were categorized into the control ($n=31$) and MASLD ($n=75$, comprising 17 MASL and 58 MASH individuals) groups (Fig. 1A and Table 1). To identify DMPs specific for MASLD, we performed a comprehensive genome-wide methylation analysis using the Infinium Methylation EPIC array. We established two criteria for DMP identification: (1) $P<0.005$, and (2) methylation differences greater than 0.05 or less than $-0.05$ (based on mean $\beta$ values) between control and MASLD samples. This approach led to the identification of 277,484 DMPs among the MASLD specimens, comprising 106,116 hypermethylated and 171,368 hypomethylated CpGs. The results are illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 1 with a Manhattan plot and a quantile-quantile plot.

The complement system can be activated through three separate pathways: the classical pathway, lectin pathway, and alternative pathway (Fig. 1A). These pathways are initiated by pathogen- or damage-associated molecular patterns, each distinguished by specific contextual cues. The HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee report for the complement system comprises 56 genes, including 27 activation components and 29 regulators and receptors. Additionally, we included 5 genes ($C1RL$, $C1QTNF3$, $C1QTNF9$, $C1QTNF9B$, and $C5AR2$) in the complement gene list (Supplementary Table 1).
To investigate the potential association between the dysregulation of complement genes and MASLD severity, we analyzed DMPs linked to 61 complement genes. This led to the identification of 277 DMPs that were associated with complement genes. Specifically, 187 CpGs were hypermethylated and 90 were hypomethylated in patients with MASLD (Supplementary Table 2). Among the 61 complement genes, 47 had DMPs; 16 had only hypermethylated DMPs, and 7 had only hypomethylated DMPs. The remaining 24 genes had both hypermethylated and hypomethylated DMPs. The supervised hierarchical clustering of the DNA methylation patterns of these DMPs is presented in Fig. 1B.

Our analysis involved stratifying samples based on disease severity, specifically focusing on fibrosis (from 0 to 4), lobular inflammation (from 0 to 2), steatosis (from 0 to 3), and NAS (from 0 to 7). Remarkably, we observed concurrent escalation in methylation alterations corresponding to disease severity (Fig. 1B).

Next, we examined the expression of the 61 complement genes utilizing RNA-seq data obtained from 92 specimens (comprising 28 control, 16 MASL, and 48 MASH) included in our previous study16 (see Fig. 1C for supervised hierarchical clustering of complement gene expression patterns). The expression of complement activation genes, including C2, C3, C5, C6, C9, C1R, and C1S, declined progressively as disease progressed, whereas the expression of complement regulators and receptors such as CD59, CD93, and C5AR1 gradually increased. These findings implied that alterations in DNA methylation patterns of complement genes accumulate with increasing MASLD severity, and these alterations likely impact gene expression.

**Defining DMPs associated with complement gene expression**

To further elucidate the relationship between DMP methylation and complement gene expression, we conducted a Pearson correlation analysis (Fig. 2A). Among the 277 DMPs examined, 143 CpGs exhibited an inverse correlation ($R \leqslant -0.3$) with complement gene expression, whereas 35 CpGs exhibited a positive correlation ($R > 0.3$). Analysis using ChromHMM state annotation files26 revealed that DMPs exhibiting an inverse correlation were predominantly located within active promoter regions.
and strong enhancers in human hepatocytes (Fig. 2B). In contrast, DMPs exhibiting a positive correlation were associated with heterochromatin regions and displayed weaker transcriptional activity (Fig. 2B). These findings implied that, throughout the progression to MASLD, changes in CpG methylation within promoter and enhancer regions of complement genes play a role in modulating gene expression.

Notably, the inverse relationship between complement gene expression and DNA methylation was more evident in MASH samples than in controls. This correlation identified nine genes with an R value less than \(-0.5\) in MASH (Fig. 2C). Within this cohort, \(C1R\), \(C1S\), \(C3\), \(C6\), \(C4BPA\), and \(SERPING1\) were downregulated, whereas \(C5AR1\), \(C7\), and \(CD59\) were upregulated in MASH (Fig. 1C). Supplementary Figure 2 presents the DMPs associated with MASH in the nine genes. Hypermethylation was observed in DMPs associated with the genes \(C1R\) (3 DMPs), \(C1S\) (16 DMPs), \(C3\) (16 DMPs), \(C6\) (3 DMPs), \(C4BPA\) (11 DMPs), and \(SERPING1\) (11 DMPs), and hypomethylation was observed in DMPs associated with \(C5AR1\) (8 DMPs), \(C7\) (3 DMPs), and \(CD59\) (8 DMPs).

Particularly, the methylation status of \(C1R\) showed a robust inverse correlation with its expression in MASH \((R = -0.58)\) and MASL \((R = -0.47)\), whereas no discernible correlation was observed in control samples \((R = 0.013)\). Similarly, for \(C5AR1\), a strong inverse correlation was found in MASH \((R = -0.67)\), with relatively weaker correlations in MASL \((R = -0.22)\) and controls \((R = -0.15)\) (Fig. 2C). These findings suggested that DNA methylation changes within nine complement genes increase with increasing severity of MASLD, with consequent effects on expression.

**Methylation patterns of selected complement genes correlate with histological severity of MASLD**

We next examined the methylation patterns of nine selected complement genes in correlation with the histological severity of MASLD, encompassing liver fibrosis and NAS. For MASH, there was increased methylation of six genes \((C1R, C1S, C3, C6, C4BPA, \text{ and } SERPING1)\) alongside decreased methylation of three genes \((C5AR1, C7, \text{ and } CD59)\) (Fig. 3A). The methylation patterns of the nine
genes in MASLD were consistent with liver steatosis (Supplementary Fig. 3), lobular inflammation (Supplementary Fig. 4), and ballooning (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Furthermore, our analysis extended to assessing methylation levels across various liver fibrosis stages, ranging from 0 to 4. Notably, significant disparities in complement gene methylation emerged between the most advanced stages (F3–4) and earlier stages (F0–2) (Fig. 3B).

Within our cohort, NAS ranged from 0 (mild MASLD) to 7 (most severe MASLD). Notably, six complement genes that underwent hypermethylation in MASLD had relatively high NAS values, whereas three genes that underwent hypomethylation had lower values (Fig. 3C).

To distinguish between MASH and non-MASH, the methylation patterns of the nine complement genes were analyzed according to the SAF score classification. Correlation analysis of the methylation patterns of the nine genes with SAF score revealed more pronounced differences between groups than what was observed for correlation with NAS (Fig. 3D).

To confirm the methylation status of the selected genes in a separate cohort, we utilized publicly available data from GSE180474, which comprises 325 individuals of European ancestry. Among them, 68% had no fibrosis (grade 0), 17% had bridging fibrosis (grade 3), 11% had incomplete cirrhosis (grade 3/4), and 9% had cirrhosis (grade 4). Similar to the findings for our Korean cohort, six complement genes were hypermethylated and the remaining three were hypomethylated, in accordance with fibrosis severity (Fig. 3E), suggesting that these alterations in complement gene methylation were consistent across diverse ethnic groups.

**Alterations in complement gene expression during the progression of liver fibrosis**

We next investigated the expression patterns of the six hypermethylated and three hypomethylated complement genes in MASLD. Among them, C1R, C1S, C3, C4BPA, and SERPING1 exhibited significantly decreased expression in MASH. Additionally, C6 was significantly downregulated in MASL, with even further downregulation observed in MASH. In contrast, C5AR1, C7, and CD59 were
upregulated in MASH (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the expression of these nine genes in MASLD mirrored 
the trends observed for liver steatosis (Supplementary Fig. 6), lobular inflammation (Supplementary Fig. 
7), and ballooning (Supplementary Fig. 8).

We next analyzed complement gene expression in relation to fibrosis, observing a decrease in the 
expression of six genes, whereas the remaining three demonstrated increased expression correlating 
with liver fibrosis (Fig. 4B). Regarding NAS, although C3 expression did not change significantly, C1R, 
C1S, C6, C4BPA, and SERPING1 displayed a declining trend in NAS 5 and 6. Conversely, C5AR1, C7, 
and CD59 demonstrated an increasing trend in mRNA levels in cases with severe disease (NAS 5–7) 
compared with less severe disease (NAS 0–4) (Fig. 4C). Expression of the nine genes differed 
significantly depending on SAF score (Fig. 4D).

To confirm the expression levels of the nine complement genes in a separate cohort, we utilized 
publicly available data from GSE135251, which includes 403 European Caucasian patients (381 
MASLD samples and 22 control samples). In this dataset, C1R, C1S, and SERPING1 exhibited 
decreased expression, whereas C5AR1, C7, and CD59 demonstrated increased expression with 
respect to the progression of liver fibrosis (Fig. 4E).

As an additional validation of complement gene expression based on fibrosis stage, we utilized 
SteatoSITE (https://shiny.igc.ed.ac.uk/SteatoSITE_gene_explorer/), which is an open resource 
comprising data for 940 histologically defined patients spanning the entire spectrum of MASLD 
severity. Consistent with observations for the Korean ITEN cohort, the six hypermethylated 
complement genes exhibited reduced expression, whereas the three hypomethylated genes exhibited 
increased expression, in line with fibrosis severity (Fig. 4F).

To analyze the effect of various factors (antidiabetics, antihypertensive drugs, statins, obesity, and 
smoking) on the expression of complement genes and DNA methylation patterns, multiple linear 
regression analysis was conducted. Among the independent variables, DNA methylation was found to 
have the most significant impact on the expression of complement genes. Specifically, methylation of 
C1S, C3, C6, SERPING1, C5AR1, C7, and CD59 was most significantly influenced by MASH status. 
In contrast, the methylation of C1R and C4BPA was more significantly affected by antidiabetics than by
MASH status. The results of the multiple linear regression analysis indicated that methylation of complement genes is predominantly influenced by MASH status, whereas gene expression is primarily affected by methylation status (Supplementary Table 3).

Altogether, it appears that changes in complement gene expression may influence the progression of liver fibrosis in individuals with MASLD, potentially serving as indicators of the severity and progression of MASLD.

**Single-cell analysis of complement gene expression**

The liver is composed of various cellular constituents, such as hepatocytes and non-parenchymal cells. To investigate the expression of complement genes across different liver cell types, we analyzed snRNA-seq datasets from the GEO dataset GSE202379. This dataset includes liver biopsies from 47 individuals at different stages of MASLD progression: healthy controls (n = 4), MASL (n = 7), MASH (n = 27), cirrhosis (n = 4), and end-stage disease requiring transplantation (n = 5). From this analysis, we obtained 99,809 high-quality nuclei, with a significant proportion being hepatocytes (n = 69,426). The cell type-specific expression levels of complement genes are detailed in Supplementary Figure 9A. Notably, the six hypermethylated genes were predominantly expressed in hepatocytes (Fig. 5A), whereas the three hypomethylated genes (C7, C5AR1, and CD59) exhibited low expression levels (Fig. 5A).

The expression of complement genes throughout disease progression at the single-cell level is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 9B. Notably, the highest expression of complement genes was observed in hepatocyte populations, prompting a focused differential expression analysis on these cells. Across all disease stages, the six complement genes exhibited differential expression, with a marked downregulation observed in end-stage disease, suggesting a correlation between disease progression and the expression of these genes (Fig. 5B). Specifically, in hepatocytes at end-stage disease, there was significant downregulation of C1R (logFC = −1.2, FDR = 0), C3 (logFC = −0.97, FDR = 0), C1S (logFC = −0.4, FDR = 3.98 × 10^{-100}), SERPING1 (logFC = −0.34, FDR = 3.33 × 10^{-68}), and C4BPA
Hepatocytes arranged along the lobule axis have a zonation pattern marked by spatial disparities in gene expression and metabolic functions.\textsuperscript{28,29} Consequently, we investigated complement gene expression in the context of liver zonation. Hepatocyte subpopulations could be classified as periportal (marked by SDS, HAL, PCK1, and ASS1) and pericentral (CYP2E1, SLCO1B3, and GLUL) (Fig. 5F). We examined whether complement genes exhibited zonation patterns of expression similar to hepatocytes in healthy tissues (Supplementary Fig. 9C). We found distinct zonation patterns in healthy liver tissue, with similar expression levels of complement genes in periportal and pericentral populations. However, in MASLD, these patterns differed substantially, particularly with regard to downregulation of the six complement genes in central-zone hepatocytes (Supplementary Fig. 9D). This suggests that these genes are predominantly downregulated in cells displaying a central-zone expression pattern in hepatocytes in MASLD tissue. Specifically, C6 (logFC = –0.7, FDR = 1.32 × 10\textsuperscript{-108}), C4BPA (logFC = –0.36, FDR = 6.99 × 10\textsuperscript{-54}), SERPING1 (logFC = –0.34, FDR = 1.84 × 10\textsuperscript{-37}), and C3 (logFC = –0.12, FDR = 1.18 × 10\textsuperscript{-41}) were significantly downregulated in central-zone hepatocytes at the end-stage condition (Fig. 5G). Figure 5H and Supplementary Figure 10 illustrate the correlation between the levels of the six complement genes and liver zonation markers. With disease progression, this correlation decreased. These findings suggest a complex relationship between complement gene expression and liver zonation, highlighting the dynamic nature of liver pathology in MASLD.

\textbf{Expression and methylation of complement genes in a mouse model of MASH}

To further investigate DNA methylation and relative expression of the nine complement genes in MASH samples, we conducted a comparative analysis between mice fed a normal diet (n = 5) and those fed a MASH diet (n = 7). Methylation levels were determined using pyrosequencing, and mRNA levels were measured using RT-qPCR (Fig. 6). The CpG sites analyzed were selected based on their similarity to those studied in humans. Consistent with findings from human samples, the results from
the MASH-diet mice validated the involvement of these nine complement genes in pathology. Notably, the methylation levels of \textit{C1ra}, \textit{C3}, \textit{C6}, \textit{C4bpa}, and \textit{Serping1} were significantly elevated in the MASH group, with \textit{C1s1} showing a non-significant increase. Conversely, methylation of \textit{C5ar1} was significantly decreased, whereas no significant differences were observed for \textit{C7} and \textit{Cd59} (Fig. 6B). Additionally, expression of \textit{C1ra}, \textit{C1s1}, \textit{C3}, \textit{C4bpa}, and \textit{Serping1} was significantly reduced in the MASH group, with \textit{C6} showing a non-significant decrease. In contrast, \textit{C5ar1} expression was significantly increased, with \textit{C7} and \textit{Cd59} showing non-significant increases (Fig. 6C). These findings in the MASH mouse model aligned with the human data, further confirming the involvement of these nine genes in MASLD. Specifically, \textit{C1ra}, \textit{C3}, \textit{C4bpa}, and \textit{Serping1} displayed significant hypermethylation and downregulation, whereas \textit{C5ar1} displayed significant hypomethylation and upregulation (Fig. 6).

To elucidate the functional consequences of these epigenetic changes in MASLD pathogenesis, an \textit{in vitro} assay was performed. Mouse hepatocyte cell line AML12 was treated with 200 μM palmitate and 0.5 μg/mL lipopolysaccharide for 12 h to induce lipogenesis and inflammation, followed by treatment with 10 μM AZA, a DNA methylation inhibitor\textsuperscript{29}, for 36 h (Supplementary Fig. 11A). Induction of lipogenesis and inflammation in AML12 cells resulted in decreased expression of \textit{C1s1}, \textit{C3}, \textit{C6}, and \textit{Serping1}, whereas expression of \textit{C5ar1} and \textit{Cd59a} increased (Supplementary Fig. 11B). AZA treatment restored the expression of these complement genes to control levels (Supplementary Fig. 11B). Furthermore, MASLD progression–related genes involved in lipogenesis (\textit{Fasn}, \textit{Scd1}, and \textit{Cd36}), inflammation (\textit{Il6} and \textit{Il1b}), and fibrosis (\textit{Col1a1}) were also restored (Supplementary Fig. 11C). These findings suggested that epigenetic alterations of these complement genes may contribute to MASLD progression and represent potential targets for drug development.

**Discussion**

In our research, we provide compelling evidence of epigenetic alteration of complement genes linked to MASLD progression. An integrative analysis of DNA methylome and transcriptome data obtained from liver biopsies of Korean patients with MASLD revealed a consistent pattern: hypermethylation and downregulation of six genes, namely \textit{C1R}, \textit{C1S}, \textit{C6}, \textit{C4BPA}, and \textit{SERPING1}, alongside
hypomethylation and upregulation of three genes, *C5AR1*, *C7*, and *CD59*. Although similar patterns of DNA methylation and mRNA abundance were observed in European ancestry groups, our study exclusively focused on subjects of East Asian ethnicity. Furthermore, through the integration of publicly accessible datasets, we established a correlation between complement gene expression and liver zonation, elucidating the complex interplay between complement regulation and hepatic pathophysiology. Our findings represent a significant advancement in understanding the functional implications of epigenetic modifications of complement genes in MASLD.

Activation of the complement system involves three proteolytic cascades called the classical, lectin, and alternative pathways, all converging at the activation of C3 convertase, a central point in complement activation. This cascade leads to the activation of crucial effectors such as the membrane attack complex and anaphylatoxins (C3a and C5a), as illustrated in Figure 1A. In the classical pathway, C1q recognizes immune complexes, initiating a chain reaction involving serine proteases C1r and C1s, leading to the activation of C4 and C2. The classical and lectin pathways are activated through binding to complement-fixing antibodies in immune complexes or mannose residues on microorganisms, respectively. In contrast, the alternative pathway undergoes spontaneous activation via continual low-rate hydrolysis of the thioester bond of C3. The resulting activated C3 then binds to factor B, promoting its cleavage into Ba and Bb by factor D. The resulting C3 convertase initiates an amplification loop, generating more C3b and C3a.

Extensive research has increasingly revealed the significant role of the complement system not only in the immune system but also in lipid metabolism. Dysregulated complement activity has been associated with metabolic syndrome, obesity, insulin resistance, and diabetes, all contributing to the development of MASLD.14,31

Hepatocytes, which are highly metabolic cells, are also the primary source of extracellular complement synthesis.32 The six complement genes we identified in the present study (*C1R*, *C1S*, *C3*, *C6*, *C4BPA*, and *SERPING1*), which are primarily expressed in hepatocytes, exhibited elevated methylation in MASLD. Once synthesized and activated, factors encoded by these complement genes may play a vital role in maintaining the normal function and regenerative capacity of hepatocytes.33,34
Interestingly, we noted increased methylation and reduced expression of C3 in liver samples from MASLD patients, which contradicts previous findings of elevated levels of C3 in plasma of MASLD patients. Moreover, type 2 diabetes is linked to heightened systemic concentrations of C3. Given the frequent concurrence of MASLD and type 2 diabetes, it is plausible that the observed heightened C3 levels could originate from sources beyond the liver, such as adipose tissue.

Our observations of reduced C3 expression in the MASH group are supported by the findings of Segers et al., who reported significantly diminished liver C3 mRNA and protein levels in individuals with MASH. Specifically, in mouse hepatocytes, it has been elucidated that C3 has a protective role against hepatic steatosis by influencing lipophagy, a specialized form of autophagy targeting lipid droplets in liver triglyceride breakdown. Additionally, intracellular C3 has been shown to promote the assembly and secretion of very low–density lipoprotein, thereby assisting in the reduction of lipid accumulation within hepatocytes. These insights underscore the multifaceted roles of C3 in lipid metabolism in the liver with potential implications in metabolic liver diseases.

Besides parenchymal cells, the liver houses various non-parenchymal and immune cells like macrophages, stellate cells, and lymphoid cells. Consequently, the liver is not only a metabolic center but also an immune organ responsible for maintaining whole-body homeostasis under normal conditions. The three complement genes (C7, C5AR1, and CD59) that we found were primarily expressed in non-parenchymal cells were hypomethylated and upregulated in MASLD specimens. Epigenetic alterations of these genes could result in abnormalities in the innate immune response, potentially culminating in liver injury and fibrosis. As pivotal components of the innate immune response, aberrant activation of these genes might contribute to the progression of MASLD by impacting various immune cell populations within the liver.

It has been established that the C5a/C5aR pathway is involved in promoting macrophage accumulation and M1-like polarization within white adipose tissue of obese mice, leading to insulin resistance. This suggests that heightened expression of C5aR may contribute to the development of MASLD by activating Kupffer cells/macrophages. Notably, Castellano et al. observed that exposure to C5a resulted in widespread hypomethylation across the genome of human renal tubular epithelial cells,
specifically affecting genes involved in cell cycle regulation, DNA damage response, and Wnt signaling pathways, indicating that epigenetic changes of complement genes could both instigate and result from altered complement gene expression in MASLD.

C7 is a terminal component of the complement cascade. Elevated concentrations of C7 in plasma have been linked to MASH with advanced fibrosis. In a study by Wei Hou et al., high levels of Complement C7 were associated with advanced fibrosis in MASH patients and effectively classified these patients in a pilot study. This study also found that C7, along with other complement proteins, significantly correlated with liver stiffness, suggesting its potential as a biomarker for advanced fibrosis in MAFLD. Consistent with other proteomic investigations, our study observed reduced methylation of C7 and elevated levels of its mRNA in the liver tissue of MASH patients with advanced fibrosis. These findings suggest a potentially significant role of C7 in MASLD pathogenesis. However, further research is needed to elucidate the precise mechanisms by which C7 influences the progression of MASLD.

CD59 is a membrane complement regulatory protein that plays a crucial role in preventing the formation of the membrane attack complex and maintaining control over complement activation. CD59 is found abundantly across diverse cell types in vertebrates, encompassing blood cells, endothelial cells, and epithelial cells. Diminished methylation of CD59 and elevated levels of its mRNA might lead to an imbalance in complement activation and inhibition, thereby impacting the progression of MASLD. Additional investigation is needed for a deeper understanding of these mechanisms.

Hepatic zonation, a fundamental feature of liver structure, denotes the partitioning of liver lobules into specialized functional regions along the porto-central axis. Each of these regions has distinct metabolic and physiological traits, facilitating specialized functions and interactions within the liver. Periportal hepatocytes oversee energy-intensive tasks like xenobiotic metabolism, bile acid synthesis, and glycolysis. Conversely, central hepatocytes are responsible for less demanding functions such as β-oxidation, cholesterol biosynthesis, protein secretion, and gluconeogenesis. The initial progression of MASLD primarily takes place in the pericentral region, with steatosis extending along the porto-central axis. In hepatocytes from MASLD tissue, we noted a reduction in complement gene expression predominantly in cells showing a central-zone expression pattern. Further research is required to
deepen our understanding of the complex interaction between these spatial factors and the trajectory of MASLD.

Blocking the complement system has emerged as a promising approach to hinder the progression of MASH, potentially opening avenues for innovative treatment modalities. Presently, more than 20 therapeutic agents are in clinical development, each aimed at distinct components and pathways within the complement cascade, addressing various indications. The complement cascade offers numerous opportunities for therapeutic intervention, each with its own set of advantages and disadvantages depending on the clinical context and underlying pathophysiology. However, inappropriate or nonspecific modulation of the complement system carries a significant risk of adverse effects, such as increased susceptibility to infections.

Our findings indicate that targeting C5/C5aR holds promise for anti-MASH therapy. Drugs, such as eculizumab, ravulizumab, and avacopan, which target C5/C5aR, have already been approved for clinical use to treat conditions such as atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome or anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody–associated vasculitis. Encouragingly, preclinical studies utilizing antagonists against C5aR have demonstrated protective effects against diet-induced obesity and metabolic dysfunction. However, further investigation is warranted for the clinical application of targeting C5/C5aR for MASH treatment.

This study’s limitations include the lack of experimental validation regarding the therapeutic aspects of targeting the complement system for MASH, which should be conducted in future research. Moreover, any cross-sectional study aiming to elucidate changes in both DNA methylation and gene expression in MASLD faces inherent constraints. Enhancing the evidence base through secondary data analysis from longitudinal studies or interventional trials would better delineate causality and temporal relationships between variables.

Furthermore, this study categorized biopsy specimens into the control and MASLD groups based on standardized histological scores. There are potential limitations, however, in that sample selection may have inherent biases, and the criteria for categorization could introduce confounding factors that affect the observed methylation and expression patterns of complement genes. Additionally, the sample size
in this study was relatively small compared to other open-source studies, and we relied on snRNA-seq data from a public database representing a different ethnic population rather than utilizing our own data. To address this, it is essential to validate these findings in a larger sample group.

Although our dataset included information on lifestyle factors, comorbidities, and related medications, it sometimes contained only non-quantitative data for some samples. These limitations hindered any comprehensive analysis of all variables in this study, indicating that further research is needed to identify additional factors that influence DNA methylation patterns and gene expression levels in liver samples from MASLD patients. Moreover, the study did not collect liver tissue samples from an independent patient group, thus preventing the isolation and analysis of genomic DNA from liver tissue. To address these limitations, future research should aim to validate our findings in an independent MASLD cohort, ensuring a more robust understanding of epigenetic mechanisms involved in MASLD.

In summary, our study reveals, for the first time, the epigenetic and transcriptional changes in complement genes in the liver during the progression of MASLD. These findings have potential implications for understanding the core mechanisms underlying MASLD progression and for developing targeted therapeutic approaches.
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Table 1. Clinical and histological characteristics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continuous variables</th>
<th>Total (n = 106)</th>
<th>Control (n = 31)</th>
<th>MASH (n = 58)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>49 (46.2%)</td>
<td>16 (51.6%)</td>
<td>22 (37.9%)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>56.0 (44.0, 65.0)</td>
<td>58.0 (48.5, 66.5)</td>
<td>20.0 (20.0, 30.0)</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>66.6 (59.4, 78.4)</td>
<td>60.1 (52.9, 66.0)</td>
<td>71.0 (65.4, 82.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.6 (23.4, 28.6)</td>
<td>22.9 (21.1, 23.9)</td>
<td>26.5 (24.3, 29.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>42.0 (25.3, 75.5)</td>
<td>22.0 (16.0, 28.5)</td>
<td>36.0 (26.0, 61.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td>40.0 (24.0, 53.0)</td>
<td>23.0 (20.0, 30.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (23.0, 49.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>44.5 (37.0, 52.0)</td>
<td>46.0 (38.5, 55.5)</td>
<td>44.0 (40.0, 48.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>171.0 (146.5, 200.5)</td>
<td>163.0 (133.0, 192.5)</td>
<td>180.0 (152.0, 196.0)</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>125.0 (89.3, 176.0)</td>
<td>89.0 (81.5, 136.0)</td>
<td>111.0 (90.0, 167.0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>4.1 (3.9, 4.3)</td>
<td>4.0 (3.7, 4.2)</td>
<td>4.3 (4.1, 4.4)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concomitant medications

| Antidiabetics        | 31 (29.2%) | 5 (16.1%) | 3 (17.6%) | 23 (39.7%) | 0.035    |
| Antihypertensive drugs | 41 (38.7%) | 9 (29.0%) | 5 (29.4%) | 27 (46.6%) | 0.188    |
| Statins              | 34 (32.1%) | 12 (38.7%) | 6 (35.3%) | 16 (27.6%) | 0.537    |

Comorbidities†

| Obesity‡            | 56 (52.8%) | 3 (9.7%) | 9 (52.9%) | 44 (75.9%) | <0.001   |
| Diabetes            | 31 (29.2%) | 5 (16.1%) | 3 (17.6%) | 23 (39.7%) | 0.035    |
| CVD                 | 12 (11.3%) | 3 (9.7%) | 1 (5.9%)  | 8 (13.8%)  | 0.626    |
| Hypertension        | 44 (41.5%) | 9 (29.0%) | 6 (35.3%) | 29 (50.0%) | 0.137    |
| Dyslipidemia        | 34 (32.1%) | 12 (38.7%) | 6 (35.3%) | 16 (27.6%) | 0.537    |
| Hypothyroidism      | 10 (9.4%)  | 4 (12.9%) | 0          | 6 (10.3%)  | 0.300    |

Histological features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steatosis Grade 0 (&lt;5%)</th>
<th>31 (29.2%)</th>
<th>31 (100.0%)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>&lt;0.001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 (5−33%)</td>
<td>13 (12.3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (35.3%)</td>
<td>7 (12.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 (33−66%)</td>
<td>19 (17.9%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (29.4%)</td>
<td>14 (24.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 (&gt;66%)</td>
<td>43 (40.6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (35.3%)</td>
<td>37 (63.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lobular inflammation

| Grade 0                 | 28 (26.4%) | 21 (67.7%) | 6 (35.3%) | 1 (1.7%) | <0.001 |
| Grade 1                 | 53 (50.0%) | 10 (32.3%) | 10 (58.8%) | 33 (56.9%) |
| Grade 2                 | 24 (22.6%) | 0           | 1 (5.9%) | 23 (39.7%) |
| Grade 3                 | 1 (0.9%)   | 0           | 0         | 1 (1.7%) |

Ballooning

| Grade 0                 | 39 (36.8%) | 28 (90.3%) | 10 (58.8%) | 1 (1.7%) | <0.001 |
| Grade 1                 | 62 (58.5%) | 3 (9.7%) | 7 (41.2%) | 52 (89.7%) |

Fibrosis stage

| F0                      | 30 (28.3%) | 26 (83.9%) | 4 (23.5%) | 0 | <0.001 |
| F1                      | 37 (34.9%) | 4 (12.9%) | 11 (64.7%) | 22 (37.9%) |
| F2                      | 26 (24.5%) | 1 (3.2%) | 2 (11.8%) | 23 (39.7%) |
| F3                      | 3 (2.8%)   | 0           | 0         | 3 (5.2%) |
| F4                      | 10 (9.4%)  | 0           | 0         | 10 (17.2%) |

* Categorical data are expressed as the number (%), and the differences between groups were determined by the χ² test. Continuous variables are expressed as the median (interquartile range), and differences between groups were determined by the Kruskal-Wallis test.

† The presence of these comorbidities is based on a combination of medical history and medication use in their medical records. For example, if someone was taking statins, then dyslipidemia was identified, etc.

‡ BMI > 25

Abbreviations: CVD, cardiovascular disease; BMI, body mass index; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TG, triglycerides
Figure 1. Altered methylation and expression of complement genes in MASLD progression. (A) Left: Schematic of the study design. MASL: metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver; MASH: metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis; DMPs: differentially methylated CpG positions. Right: Simplified scheme of the complement system. Complement system activation comprises three proteolytic cascades called the classical, lectin, and alternative pathways, all converging at the activation of C3 convertase, a central point in complement activation. This cascade leads to the activation of crucial effectors such as the membrane attack complex (MAC) and anaphylatoxins (C3a and C5a). (B) Heatmap for DNA methylation of complement genes showing changes at CpG sites associated with MASLD progression in the ITEN cohort (106 samples). (C) Heatmap for mRNAs transcribed from complement genes showing changes related to MASLD progression in the ITEN cohort (92 samples).
Figure 2. Relationship between DNA methylation and expression of complement genes. (A) Volcano plot depicting Pearson correlations between β values and gene expression values. Negative logarithm (base 10) of P-values plotted against Pearson correlations for complement genes. The horizontal dashed line indicates the significance threshold of adjusted P-value < 0.05, and the vertical dashed lines denote the limits of −0.3 and 0.3 of the Pearson correlation coefficients. (B) Chromatin states and DNA methylation: Distribution of methylation in ChromHMM state elements,26 with each color representing a distinct ChromHMM state of the genome. The percentage of each ChromHMM state is shown for all CpG sites (left), inversely correlated CpGs (middle), and positively correlated CpGs (right). (C) Correlation between methylation β value and mRNAs transcribed from complement genes. Expression correlated inversely with DNA methylation. The y axis represents log₂ transformed gene expression values and the x axis represents average β values.
Figure 3. Methylation patterns of nine selected complement genes and correlations with the histological severity spectrum of MASLD. Boxplots illustrating highly differentially methylated complement-related CpG sites in MASLD stages using ITEN cohort data. (A) MASLD groups: Control (n = 31), MASL (n = 17), and MASH (n = 58); (B) fibrotic stage: F0 (n = 30), F1 (n = 37), F2 (n = 26), F3 (n = 3), and F4 (n = 10); (C) NAFLD activity score (NAS): 0 (n = 20), 1 (n = 11), 2 (n = 5), 3 (n = 9), 4 (n = 15), 5 (n = 31), 6 (n = 12), and 7 (n = 3); (D) SAF (steatosis, activity and fibrosis) score: 0 (n = 31), 1 (n = 15), and 2 (n = 60). (E) Boxplots for the same CpG sites in the fibrotic stage based on public data (GSE180474). $P$-values indicate the significance of differences among groups (Kruskal-Wallis test).
Figure 4. Gene expression patterns of the six hypermethylated and three hypomethylated genes in MASLD. Boxplots for mRNAs expressed according to MASLD stages using iTEN cohort data. (A) MASLD groups: Control (n = 28), MASL (n = 16), and MASH (n = 48); (B) fibrotic stage: F0 (n = 27), F1 (n = 36), F2 (n = 20), F3 (n = 3), and F4 (n = 6); (C) NAS: 0 (n = 18), 1 (n = 10), 2 (n = 5), 3 (n = 8), 4 (n = 14), 5 (n = 26), 6 (n = 8), and 7 (n = 3); (D) SAF score: 0 (n = 28), 1 (n = 14), and 2 (n = 50). (E) Boxplots for mRNAs expressed during the fibrotic stage: F0 (n = 46), F1 (n = 48), F2 (n = 54), F3 (n = 54), and F4 (n = 14) based on public data (GSE135251). P-values indicate the significance of differences among groups (Kruskal-Wallis test). (F) Expression of complement genes based on SteatoSITE (https://shiny.igc.ed.ac.uk/SteatoSITE_gene_explorer/), an open resource comprising data for 940 histologically defined MASLD patients.
Figure 5. Single-cell expression analysis of complement genes based on public data (GSE202379).²¹
(A) Dot plot showing expression of nine genes in each cell type. Circle size represents detection frequency, and color indicates expression level. (B-E) Violin plots showing expression scores for six complement genes in relation to four liver pathologies (B), lobular inflammation (C), ballooning (D), and fibrosis (E). (F) Dot plot showing the average expression of zonation-biased genes in each identified zone. Circle size denotes detection frequency, and color indicates expression level. (G) Violin plots showing expression scores for four complement genes. (H) Correlation between the levels of the six complement genes and liver zonation markers with disease progression.
Figure 6. Methylation and expression patterns of nine complement genes in MASH mouse model. (A) Overall scheme for experimental MASH diet mouse model. Experimental MASH was induced in C57BL/6 male mice using a high-fat, high-sucrose diet for 14 weeks. (B) Pyrosequencing and (C) RT-qPCR were performed on nine complement genes in the control group (n = 5) and MASH group (n = 7). P-values indicate the significance of differences between control and MASH mice using the Mann-Whitney U test. ** P < 0.01; * P < 0.05.
SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Correlation analysis

To explore the relationship between complement gene expression and differentially methylated CpG positions (DMPs), we employed Pearson correlation analysis to compute the correlation between methylation $\beta$ values and log-base-2-transformed gene expression data using a Bonferroni-corrected $P$-value threshold of $<0.05$. All statistical analyses were conducted using standard R functions.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted using an RNA extraction kit (Qiagen). RNA quality was assessed using Nanodrop One (Thermo Scientific). Complementary DNA was synthesized from 5 $\mu$g RNA using reverse transcriptase (Thermo Scientific). RT-qPCR was conducted using a PCR master mix (SYBR Green Applied Biosystem, Waltham, MA, USA, #4367659) and monitored with a CFX Connect Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, #1855201). Thermal cycling was carried out as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 30 s. Expression levels of the target genes were normalized to expression of Gapdh as the reference gene, and the normalized expression data were subjected to analysis with the comparative Ct method. Primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Table 4, and all oligonucleotides were synthesized by Bioneer (Daejeon, Korea). Differences in expression of complement genes between control and MASH mice were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Pyrosequencing

The CpG sites in complement gene promoters were selected for the quantification of the extent of methylation. Genomic DNA from mouse liver tissue was treated with sodium bisulfite using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold kit (Zymo Research). Bisulfite-modified DNA (100 ng) was amplified in a 15-$\mu$L volume using 2$\times$ DreamTaq Hot Start Green PCR Master Mix (ThermoFisher) and specific primers
(Supplementary Table 4). Thermal cycling was carried out as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 30 s, with a final incubation at 72°C for 7 min. Pyrosequencing was performed according to the instructions provided by Qiagen with sequencing primers (Supplementary Table 4) using PyroMark Q48 Autoprep with PyroMark Q48 Advanced CpG Reagents. Differences in the extent of CpG methylation between control and MASH mice were analyzed statistically using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Treatment of cells with palmitate, lipopolysaccharide, and 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (AZA)

The murine hepatocyte cell line AML12 (#CRL-2254) was purchase from American Type Culture Collection and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium/F12 media (Welgene, Korea) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Welgene), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Welgene), 40 ng/mL dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1× ITS solution (Thermo scientific). The cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. To induce lipogenesis and inflammation, the cells were treated with 200 μM BSA-control (Cayman Chemical, MI, USA) or BSA-palmitate (Cayman Chemical) and 0.5 μg/mL lipopolysaccharide (Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 h. Additionally, cells were exposed to 10 μM AZA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 36 h prior to cell harvesting. Media and reagents were refreshed 24 h post-induction. Subsequently, cells were harvested, and mRNA expression and methylation status were analyzed using RT-qPCR and pyrosequencing, respectively.
**Supplementary Figure 1.** Manhattan and quantile-quantile plots of DMPs. (A) Manhattan plot of the genomewide DNA methylation analysis of the control and MASLD groups. Among the 277,484 DMPs in MASLD, the 277 DMPs associated with complement genes are indicated in blue (hypermethylation) or red (hypomethylation). Each point represents a CpG site, positioned along the x axis according to chromosomal location, and the y axis represents the level of statistical significance, measured by the negative logarithm of the corresponding P-value. The gray horizontal line indicates the FDR threshold ($<10^{-5}$). (B) Quantile-quantile plot of P-values for all 277,484 DMPs by MASLD status. (C) Quantile-quantile plot of P-values for the 277 complement-associated DMPs by MASLD status.
**Supplementary Figure 2.** Pearson correlation coefficients for DNA methylation and mRNA expression of individual CpG sites within complement genes in MASH. (A–I) Structures are depicted for each gene, along with R values for each CpG site. Red shading denotes the CpG site selected in Fig. 2C, and gray indicates non-selected CpG sites. The arrow marks the transcription start site.
Supplementary Figure 3. Pearson correlation coefficients between DNA methylation and steatosis grade. The y axis represents average β-values, and the x axis represents steatosis grade, ranging from 0 to 3.

**ITEN cohort (n = 106)**

- **C1R cg11726019**
- **C1S cg13053396**
- **C3 cg09880791**
- **C6 cg19966717**
- **C4BPA cg22591910**
- **SERPINF1 cg15918732**
- **CSAR1 cg2351638**
- **C7 cg27468328**
- **CD69 cg23903301**

Steatosis grade
Supplementary Figure 4. Pearson correlation coefficients between DNA methylation and lobular inflammation. The y axis represents average $\beta$-values, and the x axis represents lobular inflammation, ranging from 0 to 2.
Supplementary Figure 5. Pearson correlation coefficients between DNA methylation and ballooning.

The y axis represents average β-values, and the x axis represents ballooning, ranging from 0 to 2.
Supplementary Figure 6. Pearson correlation coefficients between mRNA expression and steatosis grade. The y axis represents log2-transformed gene expression, and the x axis represents steatosis grade, ranging from 0 to 3.
Supplementary Figure 7. Pearson correlation coefficients between mRNA expression and lobular inflammation. The y axis represents log2-transformed gene expression, and the x axis represents lobular inflammation, ranging from 0 to 2.
**Supplementary Figure 8.** Pearson correlation coefficients between mRNA expression and ballooning.

The y axis represents as log2-transformed gene expression, and the x axis represents ballooning, ranging from 0 to 2.

**ITEM cohort (n = 131)**

- **C1R**
- **C1S**
- **C3**
- **C6**
- **C4BPA**
- **SERPING1**
- **CSAR1**
- **C7**
- **CD59**

**Condition**
- Control (n = 43)
- MASM (n = 23)
- MASH (n = 68)
Supplementary Figure 9. Single-cell expression profiles of complement genes. (A) Dot plot illustrating the expression of complement genes across various cell types. Circle size represents detection frequency, and color represents expression level. (B) Heatmap for expression of complement genes across four liver pathologies in the snRNA-seq dataset. (C) Dot plot showing the expression of complement genes categorized by liver pathology and liver zonation. Circle size represents detection frequency, and color indicates expression level. (D) Heatmap for expression of complement genes across four liver pathologies and liver zonation.
Supplementary Figure 10. Correlation between mRNA expression and liver zonation of complement genes. Pearson correlation coefficient (R) between the average normalized mRNA levels of complement genes and markers of liver zonation. The y axis represents average normalized mRNA expression in hepatocytes, and the x axis represents normalized mRNA expression of the zonation markers.
**Supplementary Figure 11.** Expression patterns of nine complement genes after treatment with 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine in palmitate-treated AML12 cells. (A) Experimental design: AML12 cells were treated with 200 µM palmitate (PA) and 0.5 µg/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 12 hours, followed by treatment with 10 µM 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (AZA) for 36 hours. The expression patterns of nine complement genes, as well as the expression of MASLD progression-related genes (lipogenesis, proinflammatory, and fibrosis), were analyzed. RT-qPCR was performed on (B) nine complement genes and (C) lipogenesis, proinflammatory, and fibrogenesis genes. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) from n = 3 independent experiments. The Kruskal-Wallis test was performed, but no significance was found.