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ABBREVIATIONS
HCC - Hepatocellular carcinoma
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CT - Computed tomography
AFP - Alpha-fetoprotein
OS - Overall survival
IQR - Interquartile range
CNI - calcineurin inhibitors
mTOR - mammalian target of rapamycin
mTORi – mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors
TACE - Trans-arterial chemoembolization
Y90 - Intra-arterial Yttrium-90 radioembolization
SBRT - Stereotactic body radiation therapy
TKI - Tyrosine-kinase inhibitor
PD-1 - Programmed cell death protein
ABSTRACT

The annual incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) continues to rise. Over the last two decades, liver transplantation (LT) has become the preferable treatment of HCC, when feasible and strict selection criteria are met. With the rise in HCC-related liver transplantation, compounded by downstaging techniques and expansion of transplant selection criteria, a parallel increase in number of post-transplantation HCC recurrence is expected. Additionally, in the context of an immunosuppressed transplant host, recurrences may behave aggressively and more challenging to manage, resulting in poor prognosis. Despite this, no consensus or best practice guidelines for post-transplantation cancer surveillance and recurrence management for HCC currently exist. Studies with adequate population sizes and high-level evidence are lacking, and the role of systemic and locoregional therapies for graft and extrahepatic recurrences remains under debate. This review seeks to summarize the existing literature on post-transplant HCC surveillance and recurrence management. It highlights the value of early tumour detection, re-evaluating the immunosuppression regimen, and staging to differentiate disseminated recurrence from intrahepatic or extrahepatic oligo-recurrence. This ultimately guides decision-making and maximizes treatment effect. Treatment recommendations specific to recurrence type are provided based on currently available locoregional and systemic therapies.
INTRODUCTION

Primary liver cancer is the third most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide\(^1\), with HCC being a significant contributor as the most common primary liver cancer type\(^2,3\). The annual incidence of HCC is rising, with an overall three-fold increase over the last three decades\(^2,4\). Liver transplantation has emerged as the preferred treatment for early-stage HCC due to the dual benefit of removing both the primary tumour and the underlying tumourigenic cirrhotic environment, which results in favorable disease-free survival\(^5\)-\(^8\). HCC continues to grow as an indication for liver transplantation, currently accounting for at least 22\% of all liver transplant indications in the US\(^5\), and 32.4\% of all LT in Canada\(^9\).

Despite stringent institutional transplant eligibility criteria, 6-18\% of transplanted patients develop HCC recurrence, and risk estimation models remain only partially predictive\(^4\),\(^6\)-\(^8\),\(^10\). Milan criteria is the gold standard for selection of HCC patients for liver transplants to minimize HCC recurrence rates\(^11\),\(^12\). Recently, more centres are transplanting patients beyond Milan based on various extended transplant criteria, or are downstaging via locoregional therapies from beyond to within the Milan criteria\(^6\),\(^13\),\(^14\). The increasing utilization of expanded criteria and downstaging further increases the number of HCC-related liver transplantation\(^15\). Consequently, this increases the overall number of patients who develop recurrence.

HCC recurrence is an essential prognosticator for post-transplantation survival\(^16\), leaving a median survival of 10-13 months following recurrence\(^17\),\(^18\),\(^19\). Currently, the clinical management of HCC recurrence is challenging\(^20\) as standardized protocols for post-LT surveillance and consensus treatment guidelines are lacking\(^21\). Through this literature review, we aim to provide a summary of the existing literature on management of post-transplantation HCC recurrence, including surveillance strategies for detecting recurrence, re-evaluating the post-transplant immunosuppression regimen, and staging to distinguish disseminated and oligo-recurrence, to cater management practices specific to recurrence type.

POST-TRANSPLANT SURVEILLANCE FOR HCC RECURRENCE

Emerging data and expert consensus supports post-transplantation HCC surveillance, as increased cumulative surveillance exposure, early diagnosis, and aggressive treatment have been demonstrated to improve survival
outcomes. The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines recommend the use of the externally validated Risk Estimation of Tumor Recurrence After Transplant (RETREAT) prognostication score to determine a patient’s five-year recurrence risk and guide optimal screening intervals. Multiple other prognostic risk scoring systems for HCC recurrence post-LT have been developed on a per-protocol analysis. The most prominent prognostic risk scoring systems are outlined in TABLE 1. These scoring systems can provide guidance for post-operative surveillance strategies. However, despite identifying risk factors and prognostic models for HCC recurrence, there is limited direct application into clinical practice. Currently, consensus surveillance protocols for the frequency and duration of surveillance for HCC recurrence do not exist.

The most frequent site of HCC recurrence is extrahepatic alone (50-60%), commonly lungs and bone, followed by combined extrahepatic and intrahepatic (30-40%), and intrahepatic only (15-40%). Multiphase computed tomography (CT) scans can play a critical role in monitoring HCC recurrence. Currently, the American guidelines recommend post-transplant surveillance for HCC recurrence with chest and abdominal CT scans, though optimal timing and duration is uncertain. Additionally, elevated serum biomarkers, including alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels, have been shown to correlate with HCC recurrence, independent of the timing or location of the recurrence. AFP levels have been validated for use as a predictor for HCC recurrence, and AFP ≥ 100U/L at time of recurrence have been correlated with worse overall survival (OS) (hazard ratio 1.689; 95% confidence interval, 1.059-2.695; P = 0.028). There may also be future potential in analysis of molecular biomarkers including DNA alterations, aberrant gene expression, microRNA, and circulating tumour cells, towards HCC risk stratification and outcomes prediction.

HCC recurrence occurs most frequently (60%) in the first two years post-transplant, and this early recurrence is predictive of worse prognosis, often with increased disease burden and extrahepatic metastases. One recent study by Kim et al., demonstrated median timing of recurrence for single intrahepatic (20.6 months, interquartile range (IQR) 9.8-32.1 months), multiple intrahepatic (9.6 months, IQR 5.2-14.4 months), single extrahepatic (11.1 months, IQR 4.6-19.0 months), and single lung recurrence (21.4 months, IQR 10.4-41.1 months). Late recurrence (beyond two years) have also been reported and may have more favorable tumour biology, with better response to
locoregional treatments. Given the greater proportion of early recurrences and the observed worse prognosis, current data supports intense surveillance for the first two years post-transplant, to identify early recurrences.

Aggarwal et al. performed a national survey of post-transplant HCC surveillance patterns amongst forty-eight transplant centres across the United States, and found that 96% of centres had an existing surveillance protocol. Most centres (74%) included cross-sectional imaging of both chest and abdomen, whereas 21% did abdominal only, and 3% additionally incorporated a bone scan. 65% of centres incorporated AFP levels within their surveillance protocol. 48% of centres reported five-year duration for surveillance, while 18% discontinued surveillance within the initial two years. The most commonly implemented surveillance strategy involved imaging every 3-4 months for the first year, every 6 months for the second year, and every 6-12 months for the following three years. In those deemed to have higher recurrence risk, surveillance was more frequent with chest and abdominal CT imaging every 3-6 months for the initial five years. Studies have demonstrated no difference in performing CT scans every 3 versus every 6 months for detecting HCC recurrence.

One study, which combined CT scans and AFP levels for HCC screening every six months, showed that surveillance over two years incurred high costs compared to life years gained. Given the low rate of HCC recurrence, the authors inferred that surveillance yields the greatest cost-benefit in the first two years following LT. The most critical variable noted was the survival benefit gained from finding resectable recurrence. However, other studies from high volume LT centres support the significance of late-occurring recurrence, and recommend surveillance with cross-sectional imaging and AFP every 3-6 months post-LT, for a minimum of five years. Additionally, predicting an individual’s risk for post-transplant HCC recurrence through risk stratification prognostication scores may be necessary in guiding personalized surveillance strategies. Currently, few transplant centres stray away from institution-specific routine screening practices towards individualized surveillance strategies, based on patient risk stratification for post-LT HCC recurrence. Overall, the knowledge gap and lack of established surveillance guidelines have led to significant heterogeneity in surveillance patterns across institutions, with ongoing debate regarding the appropriate surveillance methods, frequency, and duration.

THE INTERPLAY OF IMMUNOSUPPRESSION AND HCC RECURRENCE
The role of immunosuppression is an important consideration in post-transplantation HCC recurrence. The adaptive immune system provides the body’s standard defence against tumour cells. Additionally, in cases of recurrence concomitant immunity exists, whereby initial encounter of the primary tumour induces growth inhibition of further secondary tumours or metastases though anti-tumour immune response and immunosuppressive cellular mechanisms. In the post-transplant state, both standard and concomitant immunity are suppressed, which may account for the more aggressive and faster progression of HCC recurrence post-transplant compared to post-resection. However, post-transplantation immunosuppression is critical in preventing graft rejection and dysfunction. This necessitates revisiting the immunosuppression strategy on diagnosis of post-transplant recurrence. The goal is to maximize the benefits of an active immune response for minimizing tumour progression, without compromising graft function.

The current mainstay for immunosuppression following liver transplantation include calcineurin inhibitors (CNI), such as tacrolimus and cyclosporins. However, CNIs can create a permissive environment for tumour growth, with increased risk for HCC recurrence in a dose-dependent manner. A role for aberrant mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signalling pathway, involved in cellular growth and proliferation, has also been shown to play a role in the pathogenesis of HCC. Various mTOR inhibitors (mTORi) have been developed as immunosuppressive agents including sirolimus, and everolimus, which have shown to also have anti-tumour growth effects through suppression of cellular proliferation and angiogenesis. Multiple cohort studies demonstrated decreased risk for post-transplantation HCC recurrence and longer post-recurrence survival using mTORi compared to CNIs. One retrospective study by Yang et al., 2020 showed that compared to tacrolimus-based immunosuppression, sirolimus improved OS at one and two years following post-transplant HCC recurrence (P=0.035). Contrarily, a large international multicentre randomized control trial (the SiLVER trial), investigating sirolimus-based versus mTORi-free immunosuppression in LT for HCC, demonstrated no significant difference in overall or recurrence-free survival with mTORi. However, the benefits of mTORi may be dependent on timing of recurrence. Kim et al., (2021) demonstrates that everolimus initiation within three months following recurrence demonstrated improved OS in patients with a recurrence free duration > 9 months (P = 0.020), and no difference in those with recurrence within 9 months (P=0.149).
With HCC recurrence post-LT, the current recommendation is to reconsideration the immunosuppression regimen, and taper immunosuppression to the lowest effective dose for protection against graft rejection\textsuperscript{6}. Specifically, this can be done by combining or completely switching to an mTORi such as sirolimus, and decreasing the CNI dosage\textsuperscript{6, 49}. Berenguer et al. further recommended keeping CNI trough levels below certain targets: $<10\text{ng/mL}$ for tacrolimus, and $<300\text{ng/mL}$ for cyclosporine\textsuperscript{6}. However, the caveat is that close monitor of graft function and toxicity is required, and immunosuppression strategies should be individualized. Additionally, the administration of mTORi may have most survival benefits in patients who develop HCC recurrence beyond 9 months\textsuperscript{37}, though further studies are required.

**STAGING OF DETECTED POST-LT HCC RECURRENCE**

Historically, post-LT recurrence was defined as a distant metastasis and considered terminal in prognosis, with palliative intent management\textsuperscript{49}. Nowadays, recurrence is classified as either oligo-recurrence (further classified as intrahepatic and/or extrahepatic recurrence) or disseminated recurrence. This notion of differentiating limited and disseminated disease has led to a paradigm shift in management\textsuperscript{49}. Studies have demonstrated that in those post-LT HCC recurrence, eligibility for treatment with curative intent was a key predictor of survival, compared to treatment with palliative intent, or best supportive care\textsuperscript{17, 64}. Although many studies support aggressive treatment of post-transplant HCC recurrence by combining surgical and non-surgical therapies towards improved long-term survival\textsuperscript{10, 17, 22, 62, 65}, there is considerable debate on specific management practices, as standardized protocols do not exist.

Complete staging is essential for adequate decision-making in the management of post-LT HCC recurrence. The distinction between limited (an oligo-recurrence) and disseminated recurrence further guides treatment eligibility for locoregional or systemic therapies\textsuperscript{49}. Comprehensive staging post-transplant for detecting HCC recurrence is generally performed by combining cross-sectional CT imaging with skeletal examination by a bone scan\textsuperscript{49}. Once completely staged, a systemic approach for management based on the type of recurrences at hand, i.e., disseminated vs. oligo-recurrence, and intrahepatic vs. extrahepatic vs. combined, should be followed to guide appropriate decision-making.

**MANAGEMENT OPTIONS IN POST-TRANSPLANT HCC RECURRENCE**
I) Oligo-recurrence

Intrahepatic Oligo-recurrence

For oligo-recurrent disease, especially when confined to the liver, the selection of any given therapy is individualized, and depends on the tumour location, degree of disease burden, technical operative considerations, functional residual liver volume, and the patient’s functional status. The various modalities available for curative intent include graft surgical resection and ablation. Other therapies are with palliative intent, and include external beam radiation, regional therapies: trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE), and intra-arterial Yttrium-90 radioembolization (Y90), and combination therapy with systemic treatments: molecular-targeted therapies, and immunotherapy.

Surgical Resection:

Around 15-20% of post-transplant HCC recurrence is localized disease. Graft tumour resection has been shown to have survival benefits in intrahepatic oligorecurrence, with prolonged long-term three- and five-year survival. Cohort studies have demonstrated a median survival of 20-27 months in those who received surgical therapy, compared to 9-10 months in those receiving non-surgical therapy (other locoregional and systemic therapies), and 2.4-3.7 months in those that received best supportive care (no cancer treatment). These studies demonstrate survival benefits of aggressive surgical intervention in well-selected patients with post-transplant HCC recurrence. However, these studies are subject to a high degree of selection bias. Surgical resection was more likely to be performed in patients with better functional status, fewer recurrence nodules, and those that developed late HCC recurrence, which are factors associated with an improved prognosis.

Surgical management in the post-transplantation setting may involve extensive hilar adhesions, and also requires that the remnant liver is tumour-free with adequate functional residual volume. These pose several operative challenges. Additionally, surgical resection contributes to significant overall post-operative morbidity, with patients being at higher risk for infective complications in the setting of immunosuppression. Prospective randomized trials are currently lacking, and there is a need for strong quality evidence on surgical resection in the management of oligo-recurrences.
Ablation:

Surgical resection is considered the most favourable for curative treatment of localized HCC recurrence. However, when surgery is contraindicated or is technically not feasible, ablation technologies including radiofrequency ablation, microwave ablation, and irreversible electroporation can alternatively be used. Ablation is ideal for tumours located away from adjacent organs and major vascular structures due to the heat sink effect. It is more preferable to resection for deep parenchymal tumours, for which resection would require a major hepatectomy. Ablation is also less morbid than post-transplant liver resection, as it is minimally invasive, which avoids the need to perform a major laparotomy in an immunocompromised patient. Tumour size <3cm, tumour number, and the presence of limited extrahepatic metastasis are key predictors of treatment efficacy and prognostic factors of overall morbidity and mortality associated with ablation therapy.

One small, single centre retrospective study comparing eleven patients who received RFA to fifteen patient with surgical resection for HCC recurrence post-LT have demonstrated comparable long-term outcomes. This study demonstrated similar one-, three-, and five-year OS (92%, 51%, and 35% surgery versus 87%, 51%, and 28% RFA) and one-, three-, and five-year recurrence-free survival (83%, 16%, and 16% surgery versus 76%, 22%, and 0% RFA). A case series of 11 patients whom underwent microwave ablation and were followed up to 33 months, had an average 17.3-month survival, with mild side effects overall. All of these studies were limited in sample size to draw significant conclusions, but demonstrate the promising potential of various ablation techniques for post-transplant HCC recurrence, with limited complication rates. This technique should be used in cases of small, single liver-only recurrences that are unresectable.

Radiation:

Another option in the treatment of post-transplant HCC recurrence, though performed with non-curative intent, is stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT). SBRT typically involves image-guided focal radiation to a tumour, with benefits of minimal collateral damage. Additionally, SBRT has been shown to upregulate the tumour immunity response through stimulation of tumour-specific cytotoxic T cells. Unlike RFA, SBRT can be effective for large tumour sizes, and has been used in several prospective studies for primary HCC in the non-transplant setting. It has been shown to be effective for tumours ranging from 2-7cm in size, acquiring 80-95% local tumour control at
two years post-treatment\textsuperscript{83-85}. One meta-analysis demonstrates that SBRT has equivalent OS and better local control compared to RFA in the HCC non-transplant setting, when adjusted for Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging factors of liver function, performance status, and tumour size\textsuperscript{86}. This highlights SBRT as a promising potential treatment strategy for local tumour control. However, all these studies were in the non-transplant population, and caution should be taken when extrapolating to the post-transplant setting.

Au et al., 2020 performed a retrospective study of six patients with intrahepatic post-transplant HCC recurrence treated with SBRT\textsuperscript{87}. The authors demonstrated that there was no local progression or mortality at 15.5 months (median follow up duration)\textsuperscript{87}. However, six treated lesions had regional progression (67%) and two patients had distant spread to the lungs (22%)\textsuperscript{87}. Currently, there are limited studies with very small population numbers, and further studies are needed in investigating the role of SBRT in post-transplant HCC recurrence\textsuperscript{87}.

**Regional therapies:**
For post-transplantation HCC with multifocal intrahepatic recurrence, regional treatments including TACE and Y\textsubscript{90} can be offered\textsuperscript{88-90}. One prospective study of unresectable intrahepatic HCC recurrence post-LT demonstrated a survival benefit with TACE compared to systemic therapy alone\textsuperscript{88}. TACE can be difficult to administer safely post-transplant due to dense hilar adhesions, variable vascular anatomy, and the need to negotiate the catheter through the arterial anastomosis\textsuperscript{68}. There are concerns for associated hepatic artery damage, with stenosis or occlusion, which affects OS\textsuperscript{91}. There is also a potential risk for graft failure with the administration of TACE in the post-transplant setting. However one systematic review demonstrated that TACE for post-LT HCC recurrence was well tolerated without major adverse consequences\textsuperscript{29}. Furthermore, in patients with unresectable HCC who are ineligible for TACE, a recent systematic review and network meta-analysis with three randomized control trials demonstrated no significant difference in treatment with systemic targeted therapies (sorafenib, lenvatinib) compared to y-90 microspheres\textsuperscript{92}. Though many studies are investigating TACE and Y90 in the bridging period before liver transplantation, there are currently limited studies investigating the role of regional therapies in the setting of post-transplantation HCC recurrence.

**Extrahepatic Oligo-recurrence**
With regards to extrahepatic HCC recurrence, the most common sites affected include: lungs (40-60%), bones (25-30%), adrenals (10%), lymph nodes (10%), and peritoneum (9%)\textsuperscript{8, 29, 33}. Similar to intrahepatic oligo-recurrence, extrahepatic recurrence can also be treated with locoregional therapies including resection and radiation, and systemic therapies.

**Surgical resection:**

Similar to other metastatic malignancies to the lungs, locoregional therapies, including pulmonary metastasectomy, can be used towards the goal of curative intent in HCC-related pulmonary metastases, with prolonged survival outcomes\textsuperscript{93, 94}. Multiple retrospective cohort studies demonstrate that surgical resection is effective in post-transplantation pulmonary recurrence with greater two- and five-year survival rates compared to no resection\textsuperscript{49, 94, 95}. This held true even with multiple pulmonary sites for recurrence, as long as surgical resection left behind adequate lung function\textsuperscript{49, 94, 95}. Similarly, there have been numerous case studies describing surgical resection for other locations of post-transplantation extrahepatic HCC oligo-recurrences, including to the vertebra\textsuperscript{96}, adrenals\textsuperscript{97, 98}, lymph node\textsuperscript{99}, and peritoneum\textsuperscript{35}. Most of these case studies demonstrate favourable survival outcomes, however it is difficult to draw robust conclusions\textsuperscript{97, 98,99,35}. Though these cohort studies and case reports demonstrate efficacy of surgical resection in extrahepatic oligo-recurrence, the literature is limited by the low quality of the study design and the potential for confounding due to patient selection bias in these resection candidates. Consequently, further higher-evidence studies are required in assessing the role of surgical resection in extrahepatic HCC oligo-recurrence.

**Radiation:**

In those not candidates for surgery, one alternative treatment strategy for local recurrence is SBRT. Though this is for non-curative, palliative intent, SBRT has demonstrated some efficacy for local recurrence control in lung and bone metastases\textsuperscript{100}. However, the literature is limited to case reports. One case report of two individuals with HCC oligo-recurrence to lymph nodes describes the usages of SBRT given over three to five fractions for curative intent\textsuperscript{101}. One individual had a complete response and remained cancer-free at 31 months follow up. The second patient developed multifocal liver recurrence within two months of treatment, though had stable disease at the irradiated lymph node at twenty months\textsuperscript{101}. 
Role of systemic therapy in oligo-recurrence

Systemic therapies such as molecular-targeted therapies or tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKIs) may be combined with locoregional treatments (surgical resection, ablation, SBRT), and regional therapies to treat intrahepatic and extrahepatic post-transplantation HCC oligo-recurrence. One study by Yang et al. demonstrated that the OS for oligo-recurrence was most favourable for patients receiving a combination of surgical resection followed by non-surgical therapy, including external beam radiotherapy for bone metastasis, TKIs, and sirolimus-based immunosuppression\textsuperscript{62}. The one- and two-year OS of combination therapy was 93.8\% and 52.6\% compared to 30.8\% and 10.8\% in patients receiving non-surgical therapy alone, $P<0.001$\textsuperscript{62}. There was an 80\% two-year OS in patients that had resection of their recurrent disease, followed by a sorafenib or levatinib therapy and sirolimus-based immunosuppression\textsuperscript{62}.

A systematic review including 61 studies (13 case reports, 41 case series, and seven retrospective comparative studies) demonstrated that the most favourable survival outcomes were achieved with surgical resection of localized HCC recurrence\textsuperscript{29}. Consequently, attempting surgical resection is recommended, where feasible\textsuperscript{29}. Other locoregional and regional therapies, including ablation and chemoembolization, can be safely performed in the case of unresectable disease or unfavourable surgical candidacy\textsuperscript{29}. Locoregional therapies can also be combined effectively with systemic therapies such as sorafenib if patients can tolerate the side effects\textsuperscript{29}. Combination of locoregional and systemic therapies are currently being investigated in the setting of primary HCC in the non-transplant population, through clinical trials\textsuperscript{102}. This may also further influence the direction for future clinical trials on role of systemic and combination therapy specific to the population of post-transplantation HCC oligo-recurrences.

\textbf{II) Disseminated HCC Recurrence}

Disseminated HCC recurrence involves the systemic spread of disease, which proposes a significant role for systemic therapies, including molecular-targeted therapies and immunotherapy. The goal is to prolong survival and treatment is for palliative intent, rather than pursuit of cure.

\textit{Systemic therapy}
TKIs has been used in combination with other interventions for disseminated HCC recurrence. Sorafenib was the earliest approved of the targeted therapies with clinically proven efficacy for unresectable HCC. Multiple retrospective studies show that combining sorafenib with mTORi, and treating early in the disease course following post-transplantation HCC recurrence, leads to disease stability or a complete or partial response. These studies have demonstrated a survival benefit from systemic therapy ranging from 7.5-20 months, compared to best supportive care alone. However, patients had significant drug toxicity, with poorly tolerated side effects and a 29% discontinuity rate. Nonetheless, these studies were not performed with population matching, and can be confounded by patient selection bias.

One retrospective study performed at a large tertiary centre consisted of forty-one patients with post-transplant HCC disseminated disease who received systemic therapy. 79% of the patients received sorafenib and had a median OS of 14 months from recurrence, with 36% disease control. The most common adverse events included hand-foot syndrome (34.7%), diarrhea (26.7%), and dose discontinuation or interruption (8.8%), with 47.1% of patients switching to a second line systemic agent. Two patients did have biopsy-proven transplant rejection, that resolved by increasing the immunosuppressants. Therefore, dosing of TKIs should be personalized and based on a risk-benefit patient discussion.

Many new systemic therapy drugs are also being approved for use as second-line for advanced or unresectable HCC in the non-transplant setting, following failure or tolerance of first-line sorafenib. This includes regorafenib, which was approved in 2017, and levatinib approved in 2018 through the international phase III trial. The use of systemic therapies in post-transplant patients is complex due to both the immunosuppressive environment and risk for drug interactions between systemic therapies and immunosuppressants. Available data on these newer systemic drugs in the context of post-transplant HCC recurrence is limited, as systemic therapy trials have historically excluded transplant patients.

A recent multi-centered study by Iavarone et al. showed clinical efficacy and safety of regorafenib use in post-transplant HCC recurrence for patients who developed tolerance and progressed on sorafenib treatment, demonstrating a median OS of 12.9 months following treatment initiation. Similarly, Yang et al. showed a median
OS of 19.5 months with levatinib, compared to those who discontinued or failed sorafenib, or those transitioned to regorafenib therapy (median OS of 12 months).62

**Immunotherapy**

Another promising option for disseminated post-transplant HCC recurrence includes immunotherapy, which directs the host’s immune response towards the tumor, by prompting an immune reaction against the tumor antigens.117 Various immunotherapies act as immune checkpoint inhibitors. One group of immunotherapy agents are programmed cell death protein (PD-1) blockers, including nivolumab, ipilimumab, and pembrolizumab, which have been validated in large phase two trials.117 Nivolumab and pembrolizumab have also been recently approved for use in advanced, unresectable HCC in the non-transplant setting.118, 119 Furthermore, a recent global open-label phase three trial (IMbrave150 trial) demonstrated better overall and progression-free survival for PD-1 inhibitors atezolizumab plus bevacizumab compared to sorafenib alone.120 PD-1 inhibitors have demonstrated overall favourable outcomes in patients that have failed sorafenib.118, 121 They also have a better side effect profile, and have demonstrated a 15-20% response rate, with 64% disease control for primary HCC.118, 121

Currently, there is a paucity of studies examining the role of immunotherapy in post-transplant HCC recurrence, with only a few existing case reports and series.122, 123 Consequently, it is challenging to draw conclusions on efficacy. There are concerns that immunotherapy regulates cell-mediated immunity, which can interfere with post-transplantation immune tolerance and contribute to treatment-resistant allograft transplant rejection and injury.123-126 One retrospective pilot study from Mayo Clinic (n=7) with post-transplant recurrence of HCC (n=5) or melanoma (n=2) demonstrated some preliminary efficacy of PD-1 inhibitors with complete response (1 of 4 patients), though 2 of 7 patients developed allograft rejection.123 Further studies are needed to investigate the efficacy and safety of immunotherapy in the setting of post-transplant HCC recurrence. At this point immunotherapy in the transplant population can’t be recommended though future clinical trials are currently underway.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Clinical trials have previously excluded transplant patients127, and much of the management strategy for post-transplant HCC recurrence is drawn from management principles of primary HCC in the non-transplant setting.31, 39.
Consequently, there continues to be a paucity of literature and a lack of strong evidence. However, this review summarizes the currently available literature to help guide clinicians on post-transplant screening and treatment decision-making in the management of HCC recurrence. The envisioned ideal trajectory following liver transplantation for HCC should involve screening for recurrence, which should be personalized based on individual recurrence risk. Those with high recurrence risk should be screened every 3-6 months for the first five years. Once recurrence is detected, a patient’s immunosuppression regimen should be re-evaluated, and complete staging should be obtained to determine the presence of oligo-recurrence or disseminated disease. Depending on the type of recurrence, the patient's functional status, and their wishes, either curative intent or palliative treatment can be pursued.

Many promising treatment options are on the horizon that have been approved for advanced HCC, but have yet to be studied in the post-transplant population with HCC recurrence. One challenge is managing this patient population in the context of the post-transplant immunosuppressed state. There is a sensitive balance between tumour recurrence and progression, with graft rejection or failure. Additionally, many studies have focused on risk factors and predictors for post-transplant HCC recurrence, but there is a significant lack of literature on management strategies and clinical application. Many of the studies within this patient population are of low-quality evidence in the form of case reports, case series, or retrospective cohort design. These studies are often obscured by confounders and limited in the ability to draw any clinically-applicable conclusions. This highlights the need for more clinical trials investigating management of post-transplantation HCC recurrence.

There are some ongoing clinical systemic drug trials (TABLE 2) in the setting of post-transplantation HCC recurrence. Two clinical trials are assessing the safety and efficacy of PD-1 inhibitor use in those intolerant to or previously progressed on sorafenib. Other trials are evaluating the role of TKIs, including a phase II study currently recruiting at Princess Margaret Hospital in Toronto, Canada, which is assessing the efficacy of cabozantinib, and a future phase III study evaluating relenvatinib. Additionally, two trials in China are investigating post-transplant HCC recurrence in the context of hepatitis B virus liver etiology. One is an open-label phase I study assessing a HBV-specific T-cell receptor-redirection drug, while the other is a phase I/II multicentre study assessing a biologic, liocyx that lyses target liver cells.
CONCLUSION

As the number of LT for HCC increases, the number of patients experiencing post-transplant HCC recurrence will rise. Post-transplant HCC recurrence represents a significant clinical challenge and is associated with poor prognosis. Currently, there is no consensus best practice guidelines for HCC surveillance and recurrence management, partly due to limited existing high-level evidence. This review summarizes the available literature to inform and guide clinicians in managing post-transplantation HCC surveillance and disease recurrence (FIGURE 1). We propose that post-LT, surveillance strategies should be individualized based on prognostication scores and recurrence risk calculations. In those with high recurrence risk, screening should involve imaging and AFP levels every 3-6 months. If recurrence is diagnosed, the immunosuppression strategy should be re-evaluated, and the recurrence should be staged to distinguish oligo-recurrence from disseminated disease. For oligorecurrence, it is crucial to assess tumour location, disease burden, technical operative feasibility, residual organ function, and patient functional status to determine resectability. Where possible, surgical resection for intrahepatic and/or extrahepatic recurrence is recommended. Unresectable oligo-recurrence can be ablated or radiated. Multifocal intrahepatic disease can be treated with regional therapies, including TACE and Y90 for disease control. Disseminated disease is treated first-line with TKIs. There may also be a potential role for immunotherapy agents, including the PD1 inhibitors, though the evidence is limited. With systemic therapy, care should be taken to monitor for side effects and graft dysfunction. Many clinical trials are currently recruiting towards developing and testing the efficacy and safety of new systemic therapies. Overall, there is a lack of high-evidence studies, and further research is required to develop better evidence-based treatment guidelines and newer drug treatment options for the management of post-transplant HCC recurrence.
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TABLE 1: A summary of common existing prognostic risk scoring systems for HCC recurrence following liver transplantation, which can provide guidance for post-operative surveillance strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Model</th>
<th>Study Setting</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Exclusions</th>
<th>Included Variables</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>AUROC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RETREAT (2017)</td>
<td>Multi-centre, United States, 2002-2012</td>
<td>Adult HCC patients pre-operatively within Milan criteria</td>
<td>Patients with incidental HCC, or downstaged to Milan criteria</td>
<td>AFP at transplant, tumour number and size (of viable tumours on explant), presence of vascular invasion</td>
<td>5 year recurrence: Score 0: 2.9% (95% CI: 0.0%–5.6%) Score≥5: 75.2% (95% CI: 56.7%–85.8%)</td>
<td>0.77 (95% CI: 0.71–0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP (2012)</td>
<td>Multi-centre, France, 1988-2001</td>
<td>Adult patients with pre-listing HCC diagnosis, whom underwent pre-op imaging</td>
<td>Patients who died within 90 days of transplant, tumour vein involvement, incidental HCC</td>
<td>AFP, largest tumor size and tumor number at listing</td>
<td>5 year recurrence: Score ≤0.7: 13.2% Score &gt;0.7: 45.3%</td>
<td>0.70 (95% CI: 0.63–0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAIN (2016)</td>
<td>Multi-centre, Italy, 2000-2012</td>
<td>Adult patients with pre-transplant HCC diagnosis, all received locoregional therapy</td>
<td>Patients with tumour burden within MC or downstaged to University of California San Francisco criteria</td>
<td>AFP slope, response to locoregional therapy (mRECIST), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, and waiting time</td>
<td>5 year recurrence (within Milan criteria patients): Score&lt;1.0: 8.4% Score≥1.0: 35.7%</td>
<td>0.58 (95% CI: 0.37–0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORAL (2017)</td>
<td>Single centre, United States, 2001-2012</td>
<td>All adults who underwent LT for HCC</td>
<td>Patients who died within 90 days of transplant, had preoperative sepsis, preoperative steroids, lack of pre-operative white count differential and AFP, no HCC on explant, and HIV patients</td>
<td>AFP, NLR, explant tumour differentiation grade, presence of vascular invasion, largest tumour size, and tumour number</td>
<td>5 year RFS: Score 0-2: 97.4% Score 3-6: 75.1% Score 7-10: 49.9% Score &gt;10: 22.1%</td>
<td>0.83 (95% CI: 0.73–0.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Recurrence-free survival (RFS), Disease-free survival (DFS), Area under the receiver operating characteristics (AUROC), confidence interval (CI)
TABLE 2: Active systemic drug clinical trials in the setting of post-LT HCC recurrence, as registered on www.clinicaltrials.gov

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Title</th>
<th>Study Identifier</th>
<th>Centre</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
<th>Main Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Enrolment goal/ status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD-1 antibody camrelizumab in the treatment of recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>NCT04564313</td>
<td>Sun Yat-sen University, China</td>
<td>Prospective study to assess safety and efficacy of Camrelizumab in patients with post-LT HCC recurrence</td>
<td>Age 18-65 years, path-proven HCC, unresectable disease, intolerant/ progressed on sorafenib or lenvatinib, &gt;3 month survival, Child-Pugh A or B</td>
<td>20/recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of PD-1 inhibition in patients with recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>NCT03966209</td>
<td>Shanghai, Zhongshan Hospital, China</td>
<td>Prospective study to assess safety and efficacy of PD-1 inhibitors</td>
<td>Age 18-70 years, HCC post-LT not amenable to local or regional therapy, intolerant/ progressed on sorafenib or lenvatinib, biopsy neg allograft PD-L1; Child-Pugh A</td>
<td>20/recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II trial cabozantinib in recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>NCT04204850</td>
<td>Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada</td>
<td>Phase II trial assessing efficacy of cabozantinib for disease control in recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>Age 18+ years, path-proven HCC, not amenable to local therapy, measurable disease, systemic therapy naive or one line prior (sorafenib or lenvatinib), &gt;3 month survival</td>
<td>20/recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating the efficacy and safety of Relenvatinib in recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>NCT04237740</td>
<td>RenJi Hospital, China</td>
<td>One-arm, open-label single-centre study to evaluate efficacy and safety of relenvatinib in recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>Age 18-75 years, HCC post-LT not amenable to other therapies, Child-Pugh A, has immunosuppressive regimen of: CNI, MMF, and sirolimus, on contraception during trial</td>
<td>40/not yet recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of T-cell receptor redirected T-cells in HBV-related recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>NCT04677088</td>
<td>Sun Yat-sen University, China</td>
<td>Single-arm, open-label, phase-1 study to assess safety, tolerability, primary efficacy of HBV-specific T-cell receptor redirected T-cell in patients with HBV-related recurrent HCC post-LT</td>
<td>Age 18+ years, HBV DNA/RNA present of HBV surface antigen, HLA profile matching, meet lab criteria</td>
<td>7/not recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of LioCyx in recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>NCT03634683</td>
<td>Sun Yat-sen University, China; National University</td>
<td>A phase I/II open-label, single-arm, multicenter study of LioCyx in recurrent HCC After LT</td>
<td>Age 21+ years, measurable disease, HBV DNA/RNA present of HBV surface antigen, HLA class I profile (expressing)</td>
<td>72/not yet recruiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital, Singapore</td>
<td>LioCyxTM, &gt;3 month survival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>