Recent advances in NAFLD metabolomics
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ABSTRACT

The clinical phenotypes of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) encompass from simple steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with varying degrees of fibrosis or cirrhosis. Liver biopsy has been the standard to diagnose NASH. However, there has been strong need for precise and accurate noninvasive tests because of invasiveness and sampling variability of biopsy. Metabolomics has drawn attention as a promising diagnostic methodology in the field of NAFLD, particularly to unravel metabolic alterations which plays relevant roles in the progression of NASH. There have been numerous metabolomics researches to find new biomarker of NASH in the last decade, fueled by the recent advances in the metabolomics methodology. This review briefly covers recent research advances on the lipidomics, amino acids and bile acid metabolomics regarding continuing attempts to discover relevant biomarkers for NASH.
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is currently the most frequent cause of chronic liver disease worldwide, affecting approximately 25% of entire adult population. (1) NAFLD is a spectrum of liver diseases, ranging from simple hepatic steatosis, or nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with varying degrees of fibrosis, or even cirrhosis. (2) In particular, NASH is characterized by the histologic evidence of inflammation with hepatocyte injury (e.g., ballooning) with or without any fibrosis, on top of hepatic steatosis. Unlike the benign clinical course of NAFL, NASH can progress to cirrhosis, liver failure as well as development of hepatocellular carcinoma. Liver biopsy has been the reference standard for the diagnosis of NASH, i.e., the aggressive phenotype of NAFLD. (3) However, because of its shortcomings such as invasiveness and interobserver variability which make biopsy less practical for clinical diagnostics or disease monitoring, many noninvasive tests have been developed to identify patients with NASH or with higher risk of NASH. Up to now, most available noninvasive tests are proven to be effective for identifying advanced fibrosis rather than the presence of NASH. (4)

Metabolomics has been extensively utilized as a promising investigational method for small molecules and metabolic products, including amino acids, fatty acids and carbohydrates, in the field of NAFLD research. (5) Many researchers have investigated pathophysiological role of the metabolome in animal models or patients with NAFLD. This review covers recent advances in metabolomics as a diagnostic tool for NASH vs. NAFL, particularly focusing on human studies of the lipidomics, amino acids and bile acid metabolomics.

1. Diagnosis of NAFLD phenotypes using lipidomics

Recent researches have endeavored to develop diagnostic models using metabolomics, e.g., lipidomics, with or without other laboratory or clinical parameters. Aims of such models mainly focused on determination of NAFLD phenotypes, such as presence or absence of
fibrosis, and differentiation between NAFL vs. NASH. Technical advances also helped those analysis especially in mass spectrometry (MS) methods and standardization of reporting identified lipids.

Several diagnostic algorithms to figure out NAFLD phenotypes have been developed based on relevant parameters from targeted or untargeted approaches, followed by creating algorithms using logistic regression. In Zhou et al.’s study, a prediction model was developed and validated, consisting of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), insulin, and patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing-3 (PNPLA3) genotype, which achieved an area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) of 0.778 in terms of identification of NASH (NASH Clin Score). They further incorporated additional MS-based lipids and metabolites markers in the score, i.e., glutamate, glycine, isoleucine, lysophosphatidylcholine (LysoPC 16:0) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE 40:6). The latter model, named NASH ClinLipMet Score, showed improved differentiation of NASH vs. non-NASH with an area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) of 0.866, with a sensitivity of 85% and a specificity of 72%, respectively.(6) In another study by Mayo et al., a diagnostic algorithm was developed based on 20 kinds of serum triglyceride species from a large cohort of biopsy-proven patients (derivation cohort of 467 patients [90 control, 246 NAFL, and 131 NASH], and validation cohort of 192 patients [7 control, 109 NAFL, 76 NASH]), which differentiated NASH from NAFL with an AUROC of 0.79, 70% sensitivity and 81% specificity, respectively.(7)

In an earlier study by Barr et al., a body mass index-dependent serum metabolic profile reliably identified NASH from NAFL, based on which a logistic regression algorithm (OWLiver test) was developed using 20 triglycerides to distinguish NASH and simple steatosis.(8) However, the performance of this test was not satisfactory in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and NAFLD (AUROC=0.69), whereas the test was originally
derived in patients without T2DM. The discrepancy observed in those two studies suggest that prediction of NAFLD phenotypes is affected significantly by the characteristics of the patient population where those models were derived.

Fibrosis stage is a well-known prognostic factor in terms of morbidity and mortality in NAFLD patients. A study using untargeted metabolite profiling by Caussy et al. found 10 relevant metabolites to predict the presence of advanced fibrosis, i.e., 8 lipids (5alpha-androstan-3beta monosulfate, pregnanediol-3-glucuronide, androsterone sulfate, epiandrosterone sulfate, palmitoleate, dehydroisoandrosterone sulfate, 5alphaandrostan-3beta disulfate, glycocholate), one amino acid (taurine) and one carbohydrate (fucose). The AUROC of the serum metabolite panel was 0.94, outperforming FIB-4 and NAFLD Fibrosis Score, and maintaining its diagnostic accuracy in the independent validation cohorts. The same research group demonstrated the association between plasma eicosanoids and liver fibrosis in a large biopsy-proven NAFLD cohort (n=427) in a follow-up study. Four relevant eicosanoids were associated with fibrosis at baseline (11,12-DIHETE, tetranor 12-HETE, adrenic acid, and 14, 15-DIHETE). In addition, combination of changes in seven eicosanoids (5-HETE, 7,17-DHDPA, adrenic acid, arachidonic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, 16-HDOHE, and 9-HODE) predicted improvement in fibrosis over 24-week period, suggesting plasma eicosanoids as potential biomarker of liver fibrosis.

Latest studies started to adopt more sophisticated approaches to derive metabolomics-based models to diagnose NASH and/or fibrosis. A proof-of-concept study by Perakakis et al. conducted lipidomic, glycomic and free fatty acid analyses from 49 controls and 31 biopsy-proven NAFLD patients (NAFLD, 15; NASH, 16). They elegantly derived a model consisting of 10 lipids which identified the presence of liver fibrosis with 98% accuracy. Albeit not externally validated in large cohorts, the novel stepwise approach using supervised machine learning methods achieved more accurate results while reducing concerns on
overfitting and overoptimism in the logistic regression analysis used in previous studies.

An intriguing study by Ogawa et al. investigated metabolomic markers for hepatocellular ballooning,(15) which is a characteristic histological feature of NASH.(16) Plasma phosphatidylcholine (aa-44:8) was significantly associated with the ballooning grade, which showed AUROC of 0.846 for the prediction in combination with type IV collagen 7S, choline, and lysophosphatidylethanolamine (e-18:2). These metabolomic markers seem promising, given that hepatocellular ballooning is a representative component for the diagnosis of NASH as well as monitoring of disease progression or resolution.(17)

Lastly, a recent Korean study reported circulating alterations in lipidomic features which were distinctive between obese and nonobese NAFLD.(18) In this cross-sectional analysis of 361 biopsy-proven NAFLD patients, visceral adiposity in nonobese NAFLD was significantly associated with saturated sphingomyelin (SM) species (SM d38:0), unlike in obese NAFLD. In addition, combinations of lipid metabolites showed good discriminative performance for nonobese (AUROC for NAFLD/NASH = 0.916/0.813) and obese (AUC for NAFLD/NASH = 0.967/0.812) subjects, which included triacylglycerol (TAG) 46:1, TAG 48:1, TAG 50:1, SM d32:0, SM d38:0 for the nonobese group, and diacylglycerol (DAG) 34:1, DAG 40:7, DAG 40:8, TAG 46:1, TAG 48:1, TAG 50:2, SM d36:0 for the obese group, respectively. Although the cross-sectional design was unable to provide explicit information on the causal relationship, these lipid metabolites were deemed promising as novel biomarkers for nonobese NAFLD.

2. Plasma amino acid alterations and NAFLD severity

Protein and amino acid metabolism in the liver can affect glutathione synthesis, oxidative stress, insulin resistance, and inflammation. Alterations in circulating amino acids can be discovered frequently in patients with NAFLD, including increases in branched-chain amino
acids (BCAA: leucine, isoleucine, valine) and aromatic amino acids (AAA: tryptophan, tyrosine, phenylalanine) and decrease in amino acids related to glutathione synthesis (glutamine, glycine, serine).(19-21) Furthermore, plasma levels of BCAAs are increased in patients with obesity or T2DM,(22, 23) with higher levels of plasma BCAAs in men compared to women, suggesting sexual discrepancy in terms of susceptibilities to metabolic disorders.(24)

Recent studies have reported the role of circulating amino acids as noninvasive biomarker for NAFLD severity. In Gaggini et al.’s study, hepatocellular ballooning and/or inflammation were associated with increased plasma BCAAs and AAAs, and fibrosis stages were discriminated using the levels of glutamate, serine and glycine.(20) Grzych et al. reported sex-dependent correlation between plasma BCAA levels and NAFLD severity, i.e., increased risk of NASH and fibrosis stage in women.(25) Another study by Masarone et al. investigated to differentiate a cohort of biopsy-proven NAFLD patients into NAFL, NASH and NASH cirrhosis, using an untargeted plasma metabolomics profile and an ensemble machine learning.(26) Relevant metabolites included increased glycocholic acid, taurocholic acid, phenylalanine, and branched-chain amino-acids. Accuracy of the classification model for NAFL vs. NASH vs. NASH cirrhosis was 94.0%, with 94.1% sensitivity and 93.8% specificity.

3. NAFLD characterization based on bile acid alterations

Role of bile acids in the pathogenesis of NAFLD has been unraveled in the last decade, particularly in metabolic homeostasis and insulin sensitivity. Dysregulation of bile acid metabolism is related to the development of dyslipidemia, insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, all of which are common comorbidities of NAFLD.(27) Puri et al. reported characteristic changes in plasma bile acid profile of NAFLD patients, showing significantly
increased total primary bile acids and decreased secondary bile acids in NASH.\(^{(28)}\) Furthermore, this study also showed associations between bile acid alterations and histological severity of NASH, such as steatosis (taurocholate), lobular (glycocholate) and portal inflammation (taurolithocholate), hepatocyte ballooning (taurocholate), and fibrosis (total secondary to primary bile acid ratio and conjugated cholate). However, another study in obese subjects reported no correlation between plasma bile acid alterations and insulin resistance, without any correlation with NASH.\(^{(29)}\) From a biopsy-proven cohort (n=102), Nimer et al. reported plasma bile acids alteration, showing association of 7-keto-deoxycholic acid with advanced stages of fibrosis (odds ratio \([\text{OR}] =4.2\)), NASH (OR=24.5) and hepatocellular ballooning (OR=18.7); 7-ketolithocholic acid with NASH (OR=9.4) and ballooning (OR=5.9).\(^{(30)}\) These results were contrary to another recent study by Caussy et al., which reported inverse correlation between fibrosis and total free secondary bile acids.\(^{(31)}\) Collectively, it seems premature to conclude at the moment whether changes in bile acid metabolism are related to histological changes of NASH by playing relevant role in the pathogenesis or mere representation of metabolic comorbidities of NAFLD.

4. Conclusions and future perspectives

Noninvasive assessment of NAFLD phenotype or prediction of hepatic fibrosis has been extensively studied, which could reduce the necessity of biopsy for NAFLD patient at risk of NASH. Knowledge on the pathogenetic mechanism and development of high-throughput technologies in the last decade have accelerated studies on the metabolomics toward development of metabolites-based noninvasive characterization of NAFLD. Table 1 summarizes metabolomics studies on the discrimination of NAFLD phenotypes as described above.

To date, despite many promising biomarker candidates from numerous recent studies, there
is no widely acknowledged metabolomics marker for NAFLD phenotype or severity. Possible explanations for the paucity of accurate and precise marker include the followings. First, NAFLD is a multisystem disease involving complex interplay of genetic predisposition, lifestyle risk factors such as lack of physical activity and/or unhealthy diet habit, presence of comorbid metabolic diseases, and gut microbiota. All these components determine the phenotypes and severity of NAFLD, while affecting metabolites at the same time. Thus, adjustment for those confounding factors and consideration of population heterogeneity are required in the design of NAFLD metabolomics study. Second, most studies on NAFLD biomarkers were cross-sectional design, from limited size of study population. Prospective validation in larger-size independent cohorts with diverse characteristics is warranted for potential biomarkers with promising performance. Finally, comprehensive mechanistic studies are warranted to disentangle the roles of metabolites in NASH pathogenesis and their significance as novel pharmacological targets. In addition, associations between genetic polymorphisms, such as PNPLA3, and metabolomic findings need to be explored in future studies.

In conclusion, metabolomics research has provided important insights in the pathophysiology of NAFLD. Integration of metabolomics data and clinical information will hopefully lead to discovery of individual molecular signature in patients with NAFLD and thereby identification of patients at risk of development and/or disease progression of NAFLD between patient subgroups. However, personalized medicine mandates rigorous validation and replication before implementation of metabolomics-derived biomarkers in the management of patients with NAFLD, on top of detailed characterization of disease phenotypes and molecular features. Collectively, identification of the phenotype-specific metabolomics biomarkers is anticipated to contribute toward personalized medicine in the field of NAFLD. For this purpose, greater understanding of underlying mechanism in the
evolution of NAFL to NASH and unraveling metabolite biomarkers involved in NASH pathogenesis might lead to the discovery of novel diagnostic as well as therapeutic options.
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Fig. 1. Metabolomics and prediction of NAFLD phenotypes.

Abbreviations: BCAA, branched-chain amino acid; AAA, aromatic amino acid; GCA, glycocholic acid; TCA, taurocholic acid; Phe, phenylalanine; BA, bile acid.
Table 1. Recent metabolomics panels for the diagnosis of NAFL vs. NASH and prediction of fibrosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Metabolites (panel)</th>
<th>Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Non-NASH vs. NASH</td>
<td>Estimation, n=223 (non-NASH, n=176; NASH, n=47) Validation, n=95 (non-NASH, n=71; NASH, n=24)</td>
<td>NASH ClinLipMet score (glutamate, isoleucine, glycine, lysophosphatidylcholine 16:0, phosphoethanolamine 40:6, AST, fasting insulin, PNPLA3 genotype)</td>
<td>AUROC=0.866, sensitivity=86%, specificity=72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NAFL vs. NASH</td>
<td>Discovery, n=467 (control, n=90; NAFL, n=246; NASH, n=131) Validation, n=192 (control, n=7; NAFL, n=109; NASH, n=76)</td>
<td>20 triglyceride species and BMI</td>
<td>AUROC=0.95, sensitivity=83%, specificity=94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NAFL vs. NASH</td>
<td>Discovery (n=374) Validation (n=93)</td>
<td>BMI-dependent metabolic profile of 292 metabolites and 51 unidentified variables</td>
<td>AUROC=0.84, sensitivity=62%, specificity=97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NAFL vs. NASH</td>
<td>n=220 (no NAFLD, n=66; NAFL, n=39; NASH, n=115)</td>
<td>20 triglyceride species and BMI</td>
<td>AUROC=0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F0-F2 vs. F3-F4</td>
<td>Derivation, n=156 (F0-2, n=133; F3-4, n=23) Validation 1, n=142 (MRE&lt;3.63kPa, n=131; MRE</td>
<td>8 lipids (5alpha-androstan-3beta monosulfate, pregnanediol-3-glucuronide, androsterone sulfate, epiandrosterone sulfate, palmitoleate,</td>
<td>AUROC=0.94, sensitivity=90%, specificity=79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>Control Groups</td>
<td>NAFL Groups</td>
<td>NASH Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F0-F2 vs. F3-F4</td>
<td>n=427 (F0-2, n=229; F3-4, n=197)</td>
<td>Baseline (11,12-DIHETE, tetranor 12-HETE, adrenic acid, and 14, 15-DIHETE), ≥1 stage improvement in fibrosis at 24-week follow-up (5-HETE, 7,17-DHDPA, adrenic acid, arachidonic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, 16-HDOHE, and 9-HODE)</td>
<td>AUROC=0.74 (follow-up)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F0 vs. F1-F4</td>
<td>n=80 (control, n=49; NAFL, n=15; NASH, n=16)</td>
<td>10 lipids, 5 glycans, 5 fatty acids</td>
<td>AUROC=1.0, sensitivity=97%, specificity=99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hepatocellular ballooning</td>
<td>n=132 (non-ballooning, n=83; ballooning, n=49)</td>
<td>Type IV collagen 7S, choline, LPE (e-18:2)</td>
<td>AUROC=0.846, sensitivity=89.4%, specificity=71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F0-F2 vs. F3-F4</td>
<td>n=64 (control, n=20; nonobese NAFLD, n=29; obese NAFLD, n=15)</td>
<td>Glutamate–serine–glycine index</td>
<td>OR=122 (P=0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>NAFL vs. NASH vs. NASH cirrhosis</td>
<td>Discovery, n=213 (control, n=69; NAFL, n=78; NASH, n=76)</td>
<td>Glycocholic acid, taurocholic acid, phenylalanine, branched-chain amino-acids</td>
<td>Accuracy=94.0%, sensitivity=94.1%, specificity=93.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile acid</td>
<td>Advanced fibrosis, NASH, hepatocellular ballooning</td>
<td>Keto-deoxycholic acid, 7-ketolithocholic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=152</td>
<td>control, n=50; NAFLD, n=102</td>
<td>Keto-deoxycholic acid with advanced fibrosis (OR=4.2), NASH (OR=24.5) and hepatocellular ballooning (OR=18.7); 7-ketolithocholic acid with NASH (OR=9.4) and ballooning (OR=5.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NAFL, nonalcoholic fatty liver; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; MRE, magnetic resonance elastography; AUROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic; OR, odds ratio; BMI, body mass index; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; PNPLA3, patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing-3; LPE, lysophosphatidylethanolamine; DIHETE, dDihydroxy-5Z,8Z,14Z,17Z-eicosatetraenoic acid; HETE, hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid; DHDPA, dihydroxy-(8Z,10,13,15E,19Z)-docosapentaenoic acid; HDOHE, hydroxy-docosahexaenoic acid; HODE, hydroxy-octadecadienoic acid.

Note: F0-4 represents the stage of hepatic fibrosis on a scale from 0 to 4.